THE FINANCES OF THE DOMINION.

(Continued from Third Page.)

this money in, the result of which should be nearly a commercial panic; and that he should be forced to withdraw his unfortunate demand for the money in order to avert a panic. I could not have supposed that in addition to that he would take five millions of the people's money, for which he had bound them to pay interest, and lend it about among the banks conducted by their friends, without a dollar of interest. I could not have supposed that any Finance Minister could have gone into a plan so wild and destructive a policy. (Applause.) And yet the man who did that and brought such ruin on the people of this country turns round and points the finger of scorn at Dr. Tupper because he could not believe that the transacts of the people could be so recklessly trifled with or that financial mismanagement could so destroy any country. (Cheers.) Then regarding his deficits he says, "did not Sir John Macdonald leave deficits?" Yes, he did. Since Confederation? No! He is obliged to go back into the condition of things antecedent to Confederation, and what did Sir John Macdonald do? He grappled with the difficulties which existed and he laid the foundation of Canada financially, commercially, and socially to be what it is represented to have been in the past, and that great effort must be made to raise it from its condition. (Hear, hear.) He was obliged to the occasion and sinking all personal feelings he advanced his right hand to his opponents and said, "Come, let us join with him in bringing about the union of British North America (Applause.) and the building of a great country." He has resisted in raising our country from the comparatively difficulties in which we were placed and in bringing about a condition of things of which every Canadian is and always will be proud. (Cheers.) So that if he did have financial difficulties under the old regime he had the confidence of the people of this country and to raise his country out of that state of things, and to place it in as prosperous a condition as any country on the face of the globe ever occupied. And yet this great critic is the man who does point the finger of scorn at Sir John Macdonald. Well, I had in the Report, that part of Mr. Cartwright's speech, which I read to Sir Tupper's Arrogance. What is my arrogance? If it is that I criticised him, then am I alone? Has not Sir Francis Hincks criticised him? and is he not now patted on the back by this gentleman, a man who participate in of the utmost value? When Sir Francis Hincks' criticism of the Government was adverse to them he was "a venerable old mummy." (Laughter.) That was the polite manner in which he was hailed by Alexander Mackenzie, but no sooner did he pay him an after-dinner compliment than he was a statesman and a high financial authority. (Loud laughter.) If I have been arrogant, then Sir Francis Hincks has been arrogant. If one man has been arrogant, then Sir Francis Hincks has been arrogant. If one man has been arrogant, then Sir Francis Hincks has been arrogant, but I am prepared to take the responsibility of my language. Since Confederation? No! He is obliged to go back into the condition of things antecedent to Confederation, and what did Sir John Macdonald do? He grappled with the difficulties which existed and laid the foundation of Canada financially, commercially, and socially to be what it is represented to have been in the past, and that great effort must be made to raise it from its condition. (Hear, hear.) He was obliged to the occasion and sinking all personal feelings he advanced his right hand to his opponents and said, "Come, let us join with him in bringing about the union of British North America (Applause.) and the building of a great country." He has resisted in raising our country from the comparatively difficulties in which we were placed and in bringing about a condition of things of which every Canadian is and always will be proud. (Cheers.) So that if he did have financial difficulties under the old regime he had the confidence of the people of this country and to raise his country out of that state of things, and to place it in as prosperous a condition as any country on the face of the globe ever occupied. And yet this great critic is the man who does point the finger of scorn at Sir John Macdonald. Well, I had in the Report, that part of Mr. Cartwright's speech, which I read to Sir Tupper's Arrogance. What is my arrogance? If it is that I criticised him, then am I alone? Has not Sir Francis Hincks criticised him? and is he not now patted on the back by this gentleman, a man who participate in of the utmost value? When Sir Francis Hincks' criticism of the Government was adverse to them he was a "venerable old mummy." (Laughter.) That was the polite manner in which he was hailed by Alexander Mackenzie, but no sooner did he pay him an after-dinner compliment than he was a statesman and a high financial authority. (Loud laughter.) If I have been arrogant, then Sir Francis Hincks has been arrogant, if