had not been complied with? He will not say so; but I maintain that the principles he advocates would lead to such result. The principle, applied to measures of a general and extended operation, abould hold good in cases of minor importance, and I will suppose the case of the hon. member letting a house or lot in Charlottetown or Royalty: he asks his rent, and the tenant turns round and refuses to pay, alleging, as a reason, that the conditions in the original grant of the lot from the Crown had not been compiled with. Would he consider it right of reasonable that his tenant should set up such a plea against the man from whout he had received his house or land? I think that the hon, member would feel the injustice of depriving him of the property for in that Colony." would feel the injustice of depriving him of the property for which he had paid his money, on the ground that some one or other, long stace dead and buried, had not done his duty. Again, Mr. Chairman, in 1839, I find the following extract in an answer by the then Lieut. Governor, Sir Charles Fitz-roy, to an address which had been presented to him from the

has been already so fully discussed, and the decision of the Sovereign and the Home Government so firmly and usequivocally expressed—and so very recently, in the letter from Her Majesty's Secretary of State for the Colonics, dated 1st May last, which letter has been published for general information—that I did hope this subject would not have been revived. As this decision is founded upon no partial or prejudiced advice or reports, but upon the broad basis of the security of all property, it would be as useless, as it would be unbecoming to that character for plain dealing which I hope on all occasions to maintain, were I to hold out to you has been already so fully discussed, and the decision of the hope on all occasions to maintain, were I to hold out to you the slightest hope of being able to obtain the object of your

"I wish, on the present occasion, to take the opportunity of making myself fully and clearly understood, in order, as far as may be in my power, to prevent you from any longer entertaining delusive hopes; but I would not have you demade before my appointment to this Government, induce your landlords to extend the hand of conciliation, and shew in earnest that they feel for your situation, and are not inattentive to your interests, I implore you to meet their advan-

ses in the same spirit."

In the first of the two paragraphs I have read, we find the

in an auswer by the then Lieut. Governor, Sir Charles Fitzroy, to an address which had been presented to him from the
inhabitants of King's County. Sir Charles Fitzroy was in
favor of a fair and equitable arrangement of the relations between the tenants and the proprietors, and imanifested as
great regard for the interests of the farmer as ever Colonial
Governor did or could exhibit. He eyen issued a circular
address to the proprietors, and that very address was made
use of to increase the cry for escheat. In the answer to
which I have alluded, we find the following passages:—

"I cannot help expressing my disappointment at your
having reverted to the question of escheat. This question
has been already so fully discussed, and the decision of the nel by which the Government can officially communicate its decision,—namely, the Colonial Office V It is very easy for the hon. member to rise in this House, and say that we have nothing more than despatches embodying the views of indivi-duals against Escheat; but Sir, I can show to the satisfac-tion of any one, that, from the date of the letter from which I have just read to the present time, we have explicit and decided declarations of the Imperial Government, that they will not countenance the institution of a court of Resheat. The hon, member has stated that, in 1816, the Rome Government granted an indulgence by which the proprietors re-ceived an extension of time for the settlement of their lands; he has not, however, told us, whether he bases his argument on the original default or on the violation of the terms indulgence. Now, Sir, if the Government had the right in 1816 to grant a further time for the compliance with the conditions which formed part of the original grante, they also had the power to do away with those conditions altogefar as may be in my power, to prevent you from any longer entertaining delusive hopes; but I would not have you depart with the impression on your minds that I am not fully aware, and that I do not sympathise with and lament the distress under which many of you are labouring; and I most cheerfully and cordially offer you my mediation with your landlords, and with the proprietors of lands in this Island generally, to obtain for you such liberal terms as will be for the mutual advantage of both landlord and tenant. Should my remonstrances, joined to those which have been made before my appointment to this Government, induce original conditions of the bargain had not been compiled with. original conditions of the bargain had not been complied with.
Well, Mr. Chairman, after the hon. member had received his

In the first of the two paners refutation of the hon, member's insular were sent to the Imperial Government, adverse to trace with those underhand communications. There is no equivocation in the language of the passages I have just read—they are the plain declarations of Her Majesty's representative—that Escheat will not be conceded. The hon, member him self has been sent to Eugland on two separate occasions, to arge the adoption of his views upon the British Government, and he well knows with what success. The expenses of his first mission were provided by a general subscription of the people. His report is in print, and although I cannot lay say hands upon it at present, I will read a portion of the sanswer he received from Sir George Grey, then under Sceretary of State for the Colonies, by order of Lord Glenelg, the same her in the proper stary of State for the Colonies, by order of Lord Glenelg, the principal Secretary:

"Downing Street, 25th August, 1838.

"Bra;—I am directed by Lord Glenelg to acknowledge have been should form the proprietors, and the investigation of their titles formed no part of its provisions. I will read to the Committee the premible of that Bill, in order that they may estimate at their proper value the objections the hon, member has made to the Government and the Committee the premible of that Bill, in order that they may estimate at their proper value the objections the hon, member has made to the Government and the Committee the premible of the Government in reference to its action in the purchase of the Worrel Estate: that he was there merely as a private individual—in short, that the House of Assembly had not delegated him to represent the views of the people on the subject. What did we see then? The House sent him on his second mission. On

answer from the Colonial Office, and returned home, the reason he assigned for the failure of his mission was that he

had not appeared in Downing Street in an official character,

ins been give, as no as in favi as much or wick or No their instiff for it is it dently iss Although in Court, and I shou agrants, me mation of and I shou it, in order man or as the agitatic denied that the people support. Member in which free liberal par Mr. Chair Hom. Mr. C