

TUESDAY, March 10th, 1829.

The Council met pursuant to adjournment.

PRESENT.

The Hon. *The Chief Justice*, President;

Honorables { *George Wright,*
 Ambrose Lane,
 T. H. Haviland,
 Charles Worrell.

PRAYERS.

Read the proceedings of Saturday.

Message from the House of Assembly, by Mr. *Dalrymple*:

“On motion, ordered, that a further conference be requested with his Majesty’s Council on the subject-matter of the last conference, and that the former committee do manage the same.

“*WILLIAM CULLEN, C. H. A.*

“*Assembly Room, March 9th, 1829.*”

On motion, it was ordered, that the above conference be agreed to, and that the same committee be appointed to manage the same; to meet instant in the Grand Jury Room.

The Honorable *A. Lane*, from the committee of conference, reported, that the committee of his Majesty’s Council had met the committee of the House of Assembly, and had received the following answer to the proposition of his Majesty’s Council on the subject-matter of the difference betwixt the two Branches, viz:

“Ordered, That the Committee of this House be instructed to deliver to the Committee of the Council the following answer to the proposal of His Majesty’s Council:

“The regret expressed by His Majesty’s Council that a personal misunderstanding between the Speaker of the House of Assembly and their President should have given rise to feelings which ultimately so seriously involved the harmony of both Houses, does not exceed that felt by the House of Assembly; and had the present proposal of His Majesty’s Council been made at the time when the House of Assembly assigned to His Majesty’s Council its reasons for sending for the Appropriation Bill referred to, it would have been most cheerfully and readily complied with; but His Majesty’s Council having then adopted a course of recrimination, which ended in a threat, on their part, to do no further business with the House of Assembly, until a portion of its Journals was expunged, and the House of Assembly having declared that it never would erase that or any other record of its proceedings, the House of Assembly cannot agree in opinion with His Majesty’s Council, that the acceptance of their proposal would not compromise its dignity and consistency as a Body.

“The House of Assembly, however, begs leave to assure His Majesty’s Council, that in rejecting their proposal it is actuated by no sentiments of animosity or ill will towards that Honorable House—on the contrary, the House of Assembly is willing and desirous that the past should be forgotten, and is ready to proceed to the dispatch of business in conjunction with His Majesty’s Council, with as much good feeling as if the unhappy difference had never existed.”

It was moved and seconded, that the order of this House of the 2d May, 1828, by which his Majesty’s Council decline to transact any further business with the House of Assembly, be repealed; upon which the House divided:

Assents.

The Chief Justice,
George Wright,
Charles Worrell.

Dissents.

A. Lane,
T. H. Haviland.

And so it was carried in the affirmative.

The Honorable *George Wright* gave notice that he would on Friday next, move for leave to bring in a bill to ascertain and establish the Boundary Lines of the several Counties and Townships of this Island, and to regulate Surveyors.

Adjourned until to-morrow at one o’clock.