seould) be discovered and exposed, by those interested in the subject." Take we then, that "Chart," in the compilation of which "so much pains was taken," for the remaining denominations.— It gives us—Menonists and Tunkers, 7th. Wesleyan Methodists, Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to you "additional particulars," at page 2223, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing.—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination you estimate at Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you result. If to your unaccounted number of say and the commination," Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of say the properties of the will be added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," Take another view of your result. The total population of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination, and more than	ministers and other intelligent individual to	
ject." Take we then, that "Chart," in the compilation of which "so much pains was taken," for the remaining denominations.— The wesleyan Methodists, Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 222, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after the fair in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination?—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving a total of Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of its added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the soul in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths souls in Upper Canada, what must they have thought of that evidence, which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as	"the Chart was multished in Canada when all, that	tion, at
"so much pains was taken," for the remaining denominations.— It gives us—Menonists and Tunkers, 7th. Wesleyan Methodists, Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 222, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your oren words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination?—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of your sold towards any religious denomination," and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the souls at Upper Canada,	could) be discovered and exposed by the errors would (not	ing with
It gives us—Menonists and Tunkers, 7th. Wesleyan Methodists, 8th. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 223, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 7c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 7c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 7c. fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after 8c. fair fair fair fair fair fair fair fair	ject." Take we then, that "Char" in the sub-	you sin
Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 222, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination?—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of sinded the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the sull in the population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the sull in the population of the sull in the committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have though		reasona
Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to you "additional particulars," at page 222, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." It will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination."—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you are a total of which you was a total of the balance of which you was a total of the balance of which you was a total of the fifth part of the population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination," and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths couls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths on the fifth part of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths one of which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here mad	It gives us—Menonists and Tunkows	own ev
Sth. But that it may not be said that I omit to give you credit for any other that should be included in the reckoning, let me refer you, Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 2523, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next". Fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the hump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination," and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of Which you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of sold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fithis concerned which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a first the principal condition as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that te		and, the
Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars," at page 2222, relative to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "I st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your? classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next" and the hump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination?—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of 151,181. Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for 151,181. Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," 40,000, you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths couls in Upper Canada, and have taken the trouble to make such any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such and you? See and the population as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, roughly any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make	Sth. But that it may not be said that I 1100.	nomina
tive to the religious denominations of Upper Canada. "These are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Guakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your? classes, except "Quakers,"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Solving a total of Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of Which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of sadded the one fifth, whole, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a adout 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?"		Let n
are," you say, "1st. Episcopalians, 2d. Presbyterians, 3d. Methodists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next" of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years and if the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of	Mr. Ryerson, to your "additional particulars?" at me refer you,	paring
dists, 4th. Baptists, 5th. Quakers, 6th. Menonists, 7th. Roman Catholics, and a few others, very inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your? classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next" Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at giving you far play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of the balance of the balance of the balance of the population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the stimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, owning as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canade, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination." As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination." As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination."		Commit
Catholics, and a few others, pery inconsiderable in number." In the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after Moman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the Imp, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination,"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you be done and the properties of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" In the Discount of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years? "Authority and the		ject, for
the enumeration I have already made, I have included the whole of your? classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next" Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your often words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination;" you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (rightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence there are first, totally sunk in the stimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence and;" so one since		enacted
your 7 classes, except "Quakers"—Of these the Chart of the Central Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next" As Agent for the lamp, as "next," or, 10th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsideratin the lamp, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, 40,000. Giving a total of 151,181. Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for 151,181. Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that wou are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of exertain religious denomination." You are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" You supplementation of the Central Committee, you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of exertain religious denomination." You was your object "to vindicate the character of exertain religious denomination of the character of exertain religious denomination." You was your object "to vindicate the character of exertain religious denomination." You was your object "to vindicate the character of exertain religious denomination." You was your object "to vi		
ral Committee says nothing—Allowing them to be your last "next"—fair play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after there as the three there as the chart of the Centerial play again, to swell the number for you, we shall have, after the three as the three as the chart of the lamp, as "next," or, and all, and and the lamp, as "next," or, and all, and and the lamp, as "next," or, and "next," and all, and all		And,
The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, 40,000. Giving a total of 151,181. Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for 151,181. Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of 48,819, 1s added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," 40,000, you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object the "to vindicate the character of committee," you say that it was your object to make when "to vindicate the character of committee," you say that it was your object to "some religious denomination." You we should be a committee, you spect the province of the province of canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magis		The
Roman Catholics, 9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths one crime which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, owing as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object members the of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object members the of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object members the of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object members.		mittee o
9th. The rest, whom you describe as "a few others, inconsiderable in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, 40,000. Giving a total of		
ble in number," it will certainly be doing you no injustice to rank in the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of Which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certair, religious denominations"." Sower with the violence of certair and green the province of the committee, "you say that it was your object "nearby" and a certain the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say th	Roman Catholics,	
In the lump, as "next," or, 10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of		
10th. To this I add one fifth of the whole population, taking your own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of	in the Land was containly be doing you no injustice to rank	The d
own words, at page 220, where you say—"I should think that one fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of	in the tump, as "next," or,	Secon
fifth part of the population in Canada do not belong to any religious denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all,		eral Ass
denomination"—and this, I believe, includes the whole of your account, "additional particulars" and all, Giving a total of		there are
Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," Your you eve vious	denomination?	ferent cl
Giving a total of		Your
Giving a total of Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of sadded the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," You give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations" You have been the character of certain religious denominations and some and the province of the committee of your object "nembers" and the province of Canada, that you have lived there about 28 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "new the province of the committee," you was that it was your object "new the province of the pro	additional particulars" and all, 40,000.	
Now then, Mr. Ryerson, for the result. The total population you estimate at Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of sadded the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," You give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object sweet with to vindicate the character of centain religious denomination." You have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object sweet with to vindicate the character of centain religious denomination." You have		vious evid
Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of Which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," You give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have been for a number of years?" The say You say and "the say You should be say you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have been for a number of years?"		
Giving you fair play, by the utmost extent of numbers, you account as above, for Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of	The total population you actimate	The di
will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of	Giving you fair play by the utmost severe contains a 200,000.	to say no
Will you be pleased, Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become of the balance of	count as above for	and "wa
the balance of	101,101.	you both
which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altogether? Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," 40,000, you give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination?" You have to swer with the committee of the committee, and the committee of the committee, and the committee of years?" Some of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the concerne that the committee before and the committ	Will you be pleased. Mr. Ryerson, to tell us what has become	reckoning
Take another view of your result. If to your unaccounted number of is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," You give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "next and committee," you say that it was your object "next and committee," you say that it was your object on saw your evidence and the character of certain religious denomination?" You have lived there are the character of certain religious denomination?" You have lived there are the character of certain religious denomination?" You have lived there are the character of certain religious denomination?"		He say
Which Leaving to be diverged by the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination?" You have been as your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denomination?" You have been as your object "new religious denomination," Which Leaving Leaving To be down in the district of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the 300,000—more am and; "see calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that "next" and	which you have lost sight of, sunk, and forgotten altegather?	You sa
is added the one fifth, who, as you say, "do not belong to any religious denomination," You give us a total of	- and differ view of vour result.	3371
ligious denomination," You give us a total of souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations." You have	11 to your unaccounted number of	which
you give us a total of	is added the one hith, who, as you say it do not belong to any	Leaving
you give us a total of	ligious denomination,"	o he divid
souls in Upper Canada, wandering without shepherds—more than two fifths (frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "new religious denominations?" You have a content to the content of the content to the content of the cont	20,000,	nation
(frightful!) of the whole population—one fifth, neither hot nor cold towards any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "never with the character of certain religious denominations?" You have lived there "or and a transfer of years?"	7 to give us a total of	T4 4
any religious denomination; and more than another fifth, totally sunk in the sestimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "new with the character of certain religious denominations?" You have a solution of the committee, and the committee, and the committee of the committee, and the committee of the committee	Todas in Opper Canada, Wandering without shenhards, more than the Cal.	1
estimate. Is not this incredible, Mr. Ryerson? and if the Committee before which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a land;" so calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "new with the character of certain religious denominations." You have a solution of the committee, "you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee of the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that it was your object on the committee, "you say that it was your object on the committee," you say that you		
which you gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a land;" so calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 28 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "new with the committee of the committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say the provided that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that you have lived the "Central Committee," you say that yo	January of the state of the sta	00,000
calculation as is here made, what must they have thought of that evidence, coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" Canada? As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations." You have conserved.		nore ama
coming as it did, from a person that tells them as you do, (page 216) "that you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" Canada? As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have some of the control of th	Jou gave your evidence should have taken the trouble to make such a le	nd;" so
you are acquainted with the Provinces of Canada, that you have lived there about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object on swer with the character of certain religious denominations?" You have some and that the character of certain religious denominations?" You have some and the character of certain religious denominations?"	and the state of t	our estima
about 23 years, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the District of London in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of years?" As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object "newer witto vindicate the character of certain religious deportance." You have a solution of the committee of the	and a did it it is the state of	Third_
As Agent for the "Central Committee," you say that it was your object onswer wi to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have a contract of the same of the sam	Journal of the control of the contro	next" and
"to vindicate the character of certain religious denominations?" You have on any	Jours, and that you are a Landowner and a Magistrate in the Die.	embers o
	12 Million in Upper Canada, and have been for a number of vious 277	anada ?"
	*** 4*ECHL IUI IIII ** LiPRITAL LIPROMITTOO // TOTA MOST About it	swer wis
"I certain" denomina- eply-"		
	denomina- e	ply—"I