to minor iect. Now e anything ibject, nor To what only publil with this is his traof Canada nding him and from ed in both held both ans indeed to the east he visited en, to say previously ished, and ideed, I do nent, that (ir. Trans. ppeared in h was like which the out Prince , in which published. ork of his rather too is English

ion to me, eir respecy as I do agreeing almost absolutely and Mr. Pope's differing only in minor points. This agreement of Dr. Dionne and Mr. Pope in my views is one of the best proofs I have of their substantial correctness. I have the best of reasons for believing, however, that Mr. Pope had worked out, before the appearance of my paper, an interpretation very similar to mine, and in at least one of the points in which he differs from me, i.e. as to a part of the course on the west coast of Newfoundland, his view is clearly nearer the truth than mine.

Dr. Dionne does not appear to have read my paper with care, for on page 231 of his work, he misquotes a statement of mine, and then proceeds to give, as if it were his own discovery, the reason why Cartier went to the east around Anticosti, instead of up the St. Lawrence, whereas this is all stated in the plainest English on the same page of my paper. However, these matters can safely be left to the judgment of future historians.