THE BEHRING SEA CONTROVERSY.

f the catch islands and

t he did not of the arbig season of reat deal of catch seals e damaged. hat, if the destroyed, ited States perate, and be thrown

may, perprofitable which no-Suddenly timber off g it down leighborly will subation," B lit, says, let us so iring the form of ratch the bitration to watch o !" says pense in ber, and ment of There-37 life and

e even.

He says: "Let my choppers go on and cut all the wood they can; you find ont the names of those who do it, if you can, and take the bond of each of them, so that they may pay the damage." Now, then, if such action was taken by B against A, and he should go to his friends and say, "What ought to be done to a man who has treated me like that?" would not the reply of his friends be, "If he does not apologize for his insulting proposition, and behave himself, whip him like a dog, if you can; whether yon can or not, it is due to your manhood and self-respect to try?" Would not the just judgment of all men sanction such a course?

Leaving our illustration and returning to the case under consideration :

What President Harrison did do was, to reply to Lord Salisbury, in proper phrase, that he should maintain the dignity and honor of his country and her national rights by protecting those and her property with all the resources within his power. Nothing more.

For that he has been severely and wantonly criticised by all the anglophobists and anglophilists in the country. Their cry is: "Such language will bring on a war with England. Would he have a war for a few seals ?" No, nor many, nor for almost any amount of money that could be named, but we should have a war, if our wrongs can be rectified in no other way, for one seal or for one dollar, if the attempt is to force it from the United States by insult, contumely, and disregard of our honor and high place among the nations of the earth. And the united press of England added to these cries the aspersions on our President that he was compelled to this proposition, to sustain the honor and dignity of our country, by his desire to be re-elected to his high office, and that he acted upon that ignoble and selfish motive only. Such accusations as to the motives of our highest officer and of our ruler in foreign affairs are a gross, national insult of the vilest sort, and are indeed more provocative of war than could be the loss of the largest sum of money. This is not the first time we have suffered such insults from Great Britain, and that in the person of her high officer; it was duly resented then, as I hope may ever be the case.

Because of similar accusations made by Mr. West, the British Minister, in a private letter, that President Cleveland was actuated

565