tions in reference to the nature and extent of the subject-matter of the will, the business and personal relations that existed between him and his deceased brother, the history of their dealings with the property, the mode in which the deceased brother managed his affairs and the circumstances leading up to and surrounding the execution of the will.

Held, that the questions must be answered or the defence will be struck out. The examination for discovery under Rule 703 is a cross-examination both in form and in substance, and a party being examined must answer any question the answer to which may be revelant to the issues. Appeal allowed.

Duff, K.C. (Helmcken, K.C., with him) for appellant. Davis, K.C., (Luxton, with him) for respondent.

Book Reviews.

The Elements of Mercantile Law, by T. M. STEVENS, D.C.L., Barristerat-Law. Fourth edition, by Herbert Jacobs, B.A., Barrister-at-Law. London, Butterworth & Co., 12 Bell Yard, Temple Bar.

Our young friends know this book well, and being a fourth edition, it need not be referred to at length. It is known also as one of Butterworth's Commercial Law series of elementary legal text books for Commercial classes. We trust, however, that the commercial classes have more sense than to hunt up their own law, even in so good a book as this.

The Law of Employers' Liability and Workmen's Compensation. Third edition. By THOMAS BEVEN, of the Inner Temple, Barrister-at-Law. London, Waterlow Bros. & Layton, Limited, 34 Birchin Lane, 1902.

Part I treats of the Employers' Liability at Common Law. Parts II and III are respectively commentaries on the Employers' Liability Act, 1880, and the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897 and 1900.

Mr. Beven is a past master on the subject of negligence, and his book is, in the opinion of one of the best authorities in England, "the most learned commentary on the Acts yet produced, and the most compact and orderly presentment of the whole subject."

The author calls special attention to Part I, which, he quaintly complains, has failed to get the recognition he hoped for as a summary of an employer's liability at Common Law. We fancy it is much more highly appreciated than he supposes. He gives the result of his research in the shape of propositions stated in his own concise and luminous style, with appropriate notes and references. It is an admirable note-book on the law applicable in cases of personal injuries.