

VOL. XXIII.

LONDON, ONT., JULY, 1888.

FOUNDED 1866.

Whole No. 271.

REGISTERED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE COPYRIGHT ACT OF 1875.

THE FARMER'S ADVOCATE & HOME MAGAZINE

WILLIAM WELD, RDITOR AND PROPRI

THE LEADING AGRICULTURAL JOURNAL PUBLISHED IN THE DOMINION.

Always give the Name of the Post Office of the Post

The Date on your Label subscription is paid.

THE FARMER'S ADVOCATE LONDON ONT., CANADA.

Our Monthly Prize Essays. CONDITIONS OF COMPETITION.

1.—No award will be made unless one essay a east comes up to the standard for publication.

2.—The essays will be judged by the ideas, arguments, conciseness and conformity with the subject, and not by the grammar, punctuation or spelling, our object being to encourage farmers who have enjoyed few educational advantages.

3.—Should one or more essays, in addition to the 3.—Should one or more essays, in addition to the one receiving the first prize, present a different view of the question, a second prize will be awarded, but the payment will be in agricultural books. First prize essayists may choose books or money, or part of both. Selections of books from our advertised list must be sent in not later than the 15th of the month in which the essays appear. Second prize essayists may order books for any amount not exceeding \$3.00, but no balance will be remitted in cash. When first prize essayists mention nothing about books, we will remit the money.

A prize of \$5 for the best original essay on How can Farmers Best Protect Themselves Against Combines has been awarded to James

A prize of \$5 will be given for the best original essay on Is Sheep Raising Profitable in Can-ada, and What are the Future Prospects? Essays to be handed in not later than July 15th.

A prize of \$5 will be given for the best original essay on Is Hog Raising Profitable in Canada? What is the Most Economical System of Feeding and General Management? What is the Future Outlook? Essays to be handed in not later than August 15th.

Now is the time to send in New Subscribers to the Farmer's Advocate. Balance of 1888 for 50c.

Over \$700 Worth of Stock Given Awa

Year by year we have become more and more impressed with the fact that it is a necessity for the prosperity of our farmers and the progress of our country that the native stock is improved by the use of pure-bred sires. Therefore we have made arrangements with some of our leading breeders whereby we can offer some of their best animals at prices below their actual cost, and on such terms that any of our farmers can obtain them without an actual money outlay. We can heartily recommend all the animals and their breeders. See the illustrated prize list in our advertising columns. The description of each herd from which these animals have been obtained has been given, or will be given, in the columns of the FARMER'S ADVOCATE. Read them!

Editoriai.

Hope.

We are pleased to state that Hon. Charles Drury, the recently appointed Minister of Agriculture for Ontario, and Professor Saunders, the gentlemen to whom the power of selecting and managing the Experimental Stations has been entrusted by the Dominion Government, have both asked for inspection, criticism and suggestions. The former in regard to the Model Farm at Guelph, and the latter regarding the Central Station. It is our opinion that both these gentlemen desire to do good through these institutions, and the only way we can hope that they may become the most beneficial and the least injurious is by complying with their requests. To convince the public that they are really in earnest, we would first suggest that they would give a pretty full account of past errors or mistakes that have been made previous to receiving their appointments, and even during their term of office.

Mistakes, no doubt, have and will occur in every business, the acknowledgment of which tends to honor and improvement, while the concealment tends to greater errors.

We have personally and through the ADVO-CATE, turnished information, directly and by criticism, that has been acted on. A new feature has been developed at the Model Farm, which we think commendable, that is, a pleasure resort to which excursions are made by picnic parties and other farmers' gatherings. We would suggest that in selecting future sites for experimental purposes, after the soil and subsoil are found suitable, the second considera-

tion should be living water, for a stream of water, with a good pond here and there, when a lake or large river is not convenient, tends to make a location much more attractive; in fact, is almost indispensable for the best effects. It might even be taken into consideration, which would be more costly to construct, hydraulic works, or to procure locations that possess the best natural advantages. Both stock and vegetation thrive best where soil is good and water is procurable by them. These educational establishments should in time have their parks, with all the various botanical productions that are adapted to the district in which they are located. Buildings can be more easily crected, then crops, trees and living water secured in abundance in some localities. Often the first cost is the least.

Now, both political parties are pretty unanimous in granting money for agricultural expenditures, and both claim to be anxious to make these institutions beneficial. The popularity of public resorts are greatly enhanced by the verdure of the earth and the presence of water. Perhaps these remarks may tend to good, if not to the existing establishment, to the selections of future sites. Partisan feelings have been so extreme that any comment on these institutions, whether regarding their establishment, management, the diseases of live stock, or the instruction imparted, have been termed "Factious Opposion," and sometimes facts have been falsified or caused to be misleading, by those zealous to give unmerited good reports. Our aim has been and will be to cause Government agricultural expenditures to be of as much benefit to farmers as to have these institutions con ducted, as far as practicable, by farmers and for farmers. The remarks lately made by the above gentlemen raises our hope.

Notice.

In our efforts to supply our subscribers with the latest and most valuable information on all agricultural subjects of interest and importance to agriculturists and others, we have spared neither time nor money, and have felt repaid by the good will of those for whom we worked. Yet we object to have the matter for which we have worked and paid stolen bodily or mutilated by other journals without credit being given to us. Private individuals, publishers, and even the Government, have not hesitated to appropriate the result of our labors without giving us credit. In future the copyright law will be more rigidly enforced against all infringers.

To all publishers we extend the hand of fellowship, and say, you are heartily welcome to the result of our labors. We will be pleased to see our articles copied into your columns as before, and are prepared to make arrangements regarding electrotypes of our engravings. when you desire them, but we insist that in every instance due credit be given to the source from which they are obtained. Say that the matter is copied

from the FARMER'S ADVOCATE.