§31. In the article of the American Journal of Mathematics (Vol. VI, page 103) referred to in the opening paragraph of this paper, the solvable irreducible quintic in which  $u_1u_4$  is equal to  $u_2u_3$  was discussed, and the roots of the equation were shown to be determinable in terms of the coefficients  $p_2$ ,  $p_3$ , etc., even while these coefficients have no definite numerical values assigned to them, but remain symbolical. The solution that has now been given is much simpler than the former; equally with the former, it is applicable to equations with symbolical coefficients, the assumption being of course made that the coefficients are related as in (49); and it possesses the advantage of being part of a general theory.

## ADDITIONAL EXAMPLES.

§32. Sixth Example.—Let

$$x^5 + 320x^3 - 1000x + 4288 = 0.$$

Here g=0, k=-16. Because g=0, we use the formulæ (11) and (16). The commensurable values of y and t which satisfy (11) and (16) are

$$y = 8, t = 6.$$
  
 $A = 56.$ 

Also, by (14),

Therefore, from the second of equations (9) and from (20),

$$B = -16 \times 37$$
,  $B' \checkmark z = -16 \times 20$ ,  
∴  $B + B' \checkmark z = -16 (37 + 20 \checkmark 2)$ .

And  $u_1u_4 = -2\sqrt{2}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{split} x &= u_1 + u_4 + u_2 + u_3 \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -16 \left( 37 + 20 \checkmark 2 \right) + \checkmark \left\{ 256 \left( 37 + 20 \checkmark 2 \right)^2 - \left( -2 \checkmark 2 \right)^5 \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} -16 \left( 37 + 20 \checkmark 2 \right) - \checkmark \left\{ 256 \left( 37 + 20 \checkmark 2 \right)^2 - \left( -2 \checkmark 2 \right)^5 \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} -16 \left( 37 - 20 \checkmark 2 \right) + \checkmark \left\{ 256 \left( 37 - 20 \checkmark 2 \right)^2 - \left( 2 \checkmark 2 \right)^5 \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &+ \begin{bmatrix} -16 \left( 37 - 20 \checkmark 2 \right) - \checkmark \left\{ 256 \left( 37 - 20 \checkmark 2 \right)^3 - \left( 2 \checkmark 2 \right)^5 \right\} \right]^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{split}$$

§33. Seventh Example.—Let

$$\left(\frac{x}{10}\right)^5 + 40\left(\frac{x}{10}\right)^8 - 69\left(\frac{x}{10}\right) + 108 = 0,$$
  
$$x^5 + 40000x^8 - 690000x + 10800000 = 0.$$

or,

Here g = 0, k = -2000. Because g = 0, we use the formulæ (11) and (16).