

lieutenant. He made open boast of the fact that the Insurance Commission had ignominiously failed to connect the name of "R. L. Borden" with "any land deals." This tells us more emphatically that any definite declaration could do, what Mr. Borden really thinks of men whom this Insurance Commission did succeed in connecting with "land deals." If it would have been a disgrace for "R. L. Borden" to have been mixed up with these land deals which the searchlight of the commission revealed, what is to be said of Mr. Foster, who went into one of the most gigantic of these "deals" with the money of his trust company in his hands? What, further, is to be said of other members of Mr. Borden's entourage, who initiated this "deal" by getting special inside information from two railway magnates whose companies are constantly soliciting Parliament for legislation?