

Dr. Cook appeared before the Committee, and was Examined.

[By Honorable Mr. Brown.]

Ques. No. 1.—In the evidence you give before this Committee, are you authorized to speak the views of the Presbyterian Church, in connection with the Church of Scotland?—I am not.

Ques. No. 2.—Have the College Trustees and Senate, maturely considered the question before this Committee, and have they arrived at a formal decision upon it, so that you can say definitely what they desire?—Yes, in the shape of resolutions.

Ques. No. 3.—Will you lay these resolutions before the Committee?

Witness hands in resolutions, as follows:—

“Extract from the minutes of the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees of the University of Queen's College, Kingston.

“UNIVERSITY OF QUEEN'S COLLEGE,  
“KINGSTON, 26th March, 1860.

“Which day the Executive Committee, of the University of Queen's College met, and, being constituted *inter alia*, it was, after deliberation, unanimously resolved, that the very Reverend Principal Cook, be authorized to prepare, and sign, in name of the Board of Trustees, a Petition to the Legislature, praying for an investigation into the management, and a more beneficial and equitable application of the public University endowment in Upper Canada; it being provided that any plan which may be proposed for a juster apportionment of that endowment, be submitted for consideration to the Board, before being concurred in on their behalf.

By Order of the Executive Committee,

ANDW. DRUMMOND,  
Chairman,  
*Pro Tem.*

Ques. No. 4.—The Committee perceive that this resolution of the College extends no further than demanding an inquiry into the present management of Toronto University, and a more equitable application of the endowment of that Institution. Are you in a position to express the views of your College beyond that point, and if so, to what extent?—I think I am, to some extent.

Ques. No. 5.—Are you in a position to give any evidence to this Committee, from your own knowledge, as to the alleged waste of public funds in the management of Toronto University?—Only from the Public Accounts.

Ques. No. 6.—Can you point out to the Committee any plan for economising the expenditure you complain of, in the management of Toronto University?—I only see a *prima facie* case of great extravagance, which ought to be inquired into by the Legislature

[By Honorable Mr. Cuyley.]

Ques. No. 7.—Do you think an average of from 4 to £600 a year, excessive for competent Professors?—I do not consider £500 a year, by any means too much. I would rather make it more than less.

[By Honorable Mr. Brown.]

Ques. No. 8.—Would £600 be too large a salary for a Professor of Mathematics?—I do not think it would. I think that men of science ought to be well paid.

Ques. No. 9.—Do you then make any objection to the salaries now paid to the Professors of University College?—I am not sufficiently acquainted with them, to give an opinion on that point.

Ques. No. 10.—Do you make any objections to the salaries now paid to the Professors of Toronto University?—I am not sufficiently informed.

Ques. No. 11.—Do you make any objections to the salaries now paid to the Masters of Upper Canada College?—I am not sufficiently acquainted with them to judge. I have derived my opinion, in regard to the expenditure of that Institution, from comparing the Returns from Upper Canada College, with those of Montreal and Quebec High Schools.