the provinces might have passed a local law of a like kind, each for its own province, and that, as the prohibitory and penal parts of the Act in question were to come into force in those counties and cities only in which it was adopted in the manner prescribed, or, as it was said, "by local option," the legislation was in effect, and on its face, upon a matter of a merely local nature. The judgment of Allen, C. J., delivered in the Supreme Court of the Province of New Brunswick in the case of Barker v. The City of Fredericton, which was adverse to the validity of the Act in question, appears to have been founded upon this view of its enactments. The learned Chief Justice says :- " Had this Act " prohibited the sale of liquor, instead of merely restricting " and regulating it, I should have had no doubt about the " power of the Parliament to pass such an Act; but I think " an Act, which in effect authorizes the inhabitants of each " town or parish to regulate the sale of liquor, and to direct " for whom, for what purposes, and under what conditions " spirituous liquors may be sold therein, deals with matters " of a merely local nature, which by the terms of the 16th " sub-section of section 92 of the British North America Act, " are within the exclusive control of the local legislature"

Their Lordships cannot concur in this view. The declared object of Parliament in passing the Act is that there should be uniform legislation in all the provinces respecting the traffic in intoxicating liquors, with a view to promote temperance in the Dominion. Parliament does not treat the promotion of temperance as desirable in one province more than in another, but as desirable everywhere throughout the Dominion. The Act as soon as it was passed became a law for the whole Dominion, and the enactments of the first part, relating to the machinery for bringing the second part into force, took effect and might be put in motion at once and everywhere within it. It is true that the prohibitory and penal parts of the Act are only to come into force in any county or city upon the adoption of a petition to that effect by a majority of electors, but this conditional application of these parts of the Act does not convert the Act itself into legislation in relation to a merely local matter. The objects and scope of the legislation are still general, viz, to promote temperance by means of a uniform law throughout the Dominion.

The manner of bringing the prohibitions and penalties of the Act into force, which Parliament has thought fit to adopt, does not alter its general and uniform character. Parliament deals with the subject as one of general concern to the Dominion, upon which uniformity of legislation is desirable, and the Parliament alone can so deal with it. There is no ground or pretence for saying that the evil or vice struck at by the Act in question is local or exists only in one province, and that Parliament, under colour of general legislation, is dealing with a provincial matter only.