and completely (shall I say robbed) deprived of his legal fees and means of living as effectually as if the money were taken from his till, and as I shall now show, the public are suffering as well as the Sheriffs, as the following causes of which I hold the taxed and receipted Bills of Costs, all by Attorneys who either in their speeches on Mr. Sinclair's motion in the House or afterwards through the columns of the press, said that the services were made by the Attorneys themselves for "nothing," or to reduce the disbursements of the suit and save costs to the litigant. I invite the public to the list of taxed Bills of Costs of Attorneys who made these declarations, and ask if they made the services for nothing, or did they reduce the disbursements of the suit:—

NO.	COURT.	STYLE OF CAUSE.	AMOUNT			Taxet	
				cts,		\$	cts
I	C. C.	Souter vs. Servois	8	50	Att'y said Lawyers cannot charge for serving Writs and Subpomas.	3	60
2	C. C.	Watson vs. Servois	7	48	Att'y said charge would be a fraudulent charge, and he made it.	2	73
3	С. С.	Souter vs. Servois	10	20	Att'y objected to compell- ing people to make services through the Sheriff, when Att'y would serve them for nothing	5	45
4	C. C	Smith vs. Mercer	10	40	Att'y said the law was plain ) that no legal gentleman - could charge for the service !	5	15
5	C, C	Thomson vs. Simpson	10	09		4	74
6	C, C	Williams vs Wood	7	60			10
7	C, C,	Bishop vs. Douglas	7	റാ	Att'v said if Lawyers made )	1	
					Profession itself!!!	2	25
			\$61	27		\$26	02

It will be observed that the Attorneys in the seven foregoing causes in the County Court collected for themselves, over and above their own legal fees, the snug little sum of \$26.02, being 82 cents more than a sum equal to two Sheriffs' fees. Had the services been made by the Sheriffs, instead of by the seven Attorneys, their fees at \$1.80 each would have been \$12.60, and not \$26.02 as was collected by the Attorneys. Therefore, these Process-serving Attorneys who told the public they made the services for nothing to reduce the disbursements of the suit and save costs to the litigant, have done so, first, in the following fashion, viz: by pocketing \$1.00 that belonged to the Sheriff, and secondly, by pocketing \$1.342 that belonged to the unfortunate litigants, and ought not to have been taken from their

rged
nis is
vices
vices
4.95
s of
own;
heriff
the
had
neys
ited,

tiff's

for a l see s are from table y all tariff sfachem

two

rior hich yers for liffs luty

ned

, his had the the

ities

for ch I ally