claim for land in that Provînce is without a color or shadow of right to support it. Nothing but the circumstance that Mr. Trutch who raises this question, was one of the delegates who visited Ottawa, in 1870, to negotiate the Terms of Union, makes it worthy of consideration. It is this fact that has induced me to collect these Extracts, &c., together, and place them within the reach of those who might otherwise be led to believe, that there is some truth and justice in the claim put forward by Mr. Trutch. If new terms respecting the Railway lands had been proposed, the proposal, whether judicious or not, would have the merit of being honorable; but the attempt to import a new provision,—one never before hinted at,—into the Railway Land Clause is, to say the least, highly discreditable; and certainly is most unworthy in one who undoubtedly knows better.

To understand this question thoroughly, it is necessary to know the origin of the Railway Land clause of the Term; of Union.

On reference to pages 1 and 11, it will be noticed that British Columbia proposed that her population for financial purposes be estimated at 120,000; "but finally agreed to accept the basis of the actual population, namely, 60,000." On this basis the subsidies stood (see page 2) as follows:

5 per cent on difference between actual and			
allowed debt	\$	33,289	71
60,000 inhabitants @ 80c. per head		48,000	00
Annual subsidy		35,000	00
Total	<b>.</b>	110 000	P7 1

This total was nearly \$100,000 less than the Legislature of British Columbia had authorized the Delegates to accept. Unless that sum could be made up in some way, it was useless to continue the negotiations. As no expedient was at hand to make good the deficiency, the negotiations were adjourned till next day. Next morning, Sir Geo. Cartier entered the Privy Council Chamber and stated that Parliament had offered Newfoundland, \$150,000 a year for ever for all her Crown Lands, and that he proposed to give British Columbia, \$100,000 a year for ever for a belt of land not exceeding 20 miles in width on each side of the Railway. This was promptly accepted; and Mr. Tiutch immediately drew up the Railway Land Clause,

8, an that for the in lie might Geo.

not d relati "was "the "sub "the throu the R inqui and s

was vecarried know country photo Government of the country of the

" stoc

3

Providuring to powith not be and l