thority claimed in the late fedition law, paffed by the late Congress.

This obfervation will, no doubt, be made and be echoed and reechoed from one champion to another, that if the federal government cannot protect their prefident from libels, but must fend him to the flate courts for defence, we had better give up the national fyten at once. This obfervation, when made, will be the refult of the want of confideration. A moment's reflection will evince, that the general government is fupported by the fame people, who fupport the others. That these will have their influence; and whenever the general government fhall be guided by men, who fhall attempt a feparate interest, the public opinion will gradually remove them, until the connecting balance fhall be reftored to its constitutional perfection.

The fum of the argument, on the whole, is this, that the conflicational freedom of the prefs does not open the flood gates of flander on the members of the civil fociety, and allow each man to calumniate his neighbor with impunity.

That a man's reputation ought to be guarded, as of the next confequence to his life.

'That whatever is in fact done by a government, or by any officer of it, in his official capacity, or under a pretence of official authority, may be published to the world, without the writer or printer's being chargeable for a libel.

That the reputation of men in office, is as dear to them, as that of other citizens are to them, and as much under the protection of the laws, as the reputat 'n of men in private life is ; and that, therefore, a charge against them of bribery or corruption, ought not to be published, otherwise than in a judicial profecution against them, before a proper tribunal, where they may be removed from office, or otherwise punished according to the demerit of their crime.

That where a man appears as a candidate for an elective office, he exhibits his character for a public forutiny, and every one has a right to publish any thing against his

punifhament at to prothe fame nt of the ernment" nt withwhether f experte fover: reignty, ons and e in proa necelfeveral perfon he prefi- ' nt to obf the Ue fhould offence, t. But lifh a lito excite es, withgovernfure by resident hat may againít uries to s thing

he fed-

rters of

did not

the au-

takes a