

On the Ionisation of Metallic Vapours in Flames.

By Prof. J. C. McLENNAN, F.R.S., and DAVID A. KEYS, M.A., University of Toronto.

(Received July 17, 1916.)

1. *Introduction.*

It has been shown by Frank and Hertz* and also later by McLennan and Henderson† that when electrons possessing kinetic energy corresponding to a fall of potential of approximately 4.9 volts are allowed to impinge upon heated mercury vapour in a vacuum, the vapour emits a monochromatic radiation of wave-length $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U. R. W. Wood‡ has also shown that if light of this wave-length be projected in a given direction into heated mercury vapour in a vacuum, the phenomenon of resonance comes into play and a radiation of wave-length $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U. is re-emitted by the vapour in directions making all angles with that of the impinging light. Quite recently too it has been shown by McLennan and Thomson§ that if mercury vapour be introduced into the flame of a Bunsen burner there issues from the flame in addition to the ordinary well-known light of the Bunsen flame the monochromatic radiation of wave-length $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U. These illustrations serve to show then that there are at least three distinct agencies by means of which the vapour of mercury may be brought into a state in which it is capable of emitting the characteristic monochromatic radiation of wave-length $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U. Frank and Hertz|| have also shown—and it has later been confirmed by Newman¶—that mercury vapour is ionised when electrons are projected into it with a velocity equal to or greater than that acquired in a fall of potential of 4.9 volts. This result would therefore suggest that whenever mercury vapour is brought into a condition to emit the monochromatic radiation $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U., it is also ionised and should be capable of exhibiting electrical conductivity. Some experiments made by Steubing** also seem to support this suggestion, for he found that mercury vapour could be made conducting by simply passing through it light of wave-length $\lambda = 2536.72$ Å.U. It would seem, therefore, if this suggestion should

* Frank and Hertz, 'Verh. d. Deutsch. Phys. Ges.', vol. 11, p. 512 (1914).

† McLennan and Henderson, 'Roy. Soc. Proc.,' A, vol. 91, p. 485 (1915).

‡ R. W. Wood, 'Phys. Zeit.,' Jahrgang 10, No. 13, p. 425.

§ McLennan and Thomson, *supra*, p. 584.

|| Frank and Hertz, 'Verh. d. Deutsch. Phys. Ges.,' vol. 10, pp. 457-467 (1914).

¶ Newman, 'Phil. Mag.,' vol. 28, pp. 753-756 (Nov., 1914).

** Steubing, 'Phys. Zeit.,' Jahrgang 10, No. 22, p. 787.