## Team names in limbo once more.

Brunswickan.

Some things just never seem to die. Or rather, there are some people who just will not let an idea die a peaceful and natural death.

Once again the athletic teams of this university are faced with the unpleasant possibility of a name change. For those of you who are uninformed in this matter, what certain sects of the administration want to do is change all the names of the UNB teams to a singular name. They have chosen to push the 'Pioneers', because of UNB's trailblazing history in both academics and athletics.

Not meaning to put anyone in a bad light, but 'push' is exactly what some people are doing. Last year we were faced with this same question and when it was put to the athletes and the students, those people whom the name change would affect the most, the majority response was a firm NO. On top of that, a committee chosen to select a new name could not come up with one they felt to be truly representative of

was ended and the idea dropped.

Now we find that the idea had not been dropped, but rather just put in the closet until a better time arose to bring it out into the light again. The time is now but the unveiling seems to be occurring under a contradictory cloak of secrecy.

This writer has been assured by UNB President, Dr. John Anderson, that this matter will not be dealt with "hurriedly or quietly behind closed doors" and that the issue will be presented to the Senate at their next meeting April 10. So, to date, nothing final has been decided on the proposed name change. But not many people know that. It seems strange that word of this event only reached the public as a result of a news leak when the media gained access to the information ahead of time. It seems the initial idea was to simply announce the change to the athletes at their upcoming banquet.

To the interested obsereditorial submitted by a ver, one would think that former sports editor of the those responsible were Brunswickan Jo-Anne Jef- trying to get the name ferson. The contents are her change accepted without personal opinion and do not any advance public knownecessarily reflect the opin- ledge of its very existence. ion of the staff of the The fact is, almost everyone this writer has talked to recently has been laboring under the misconception that the name change is final and the teams are heretoforth to be known as the Pioneers.

I suppose the Pioneers is a nice enough name, but why not leave it to the Oromocto team that had it first? Our teams bearing that name can only mean more confusion for everyone concerned. Geographically we are located too close to Oromocto to have teams here and there going under the same handle and at the same time hope for a lack of confusion.

However, before this editorial gets too emotional, let us examine some of the pros and cons of such a name change. To begin with, the administration feels that it will aid in better identification of the university's teams in both AUAA conference and national competitions. What they want is a singular, common name. What they fail to realize is that we already have a perfectly good squads - UNB.

would think that the matter withe teams. Why not base the designing of a logo on name would the name of the institution. recognized? There are already a number

those initals. would assist in recruiting volleyball title. However, in eers' was selected. This is you. If you care, show it. practices and promotion with the media. In addition to these points, the final reason they want one handle is that all of the other collegiate teams in Canada have only one title for their teams.

One might say that UNB is the last of a vanishing breed. After decades of tradition where each athletic team competed for the honor and glory of the Red 'n' Black under their own name, an influential minority comes along and wants to wipe it all out without a second thought, or without consulting those who carry on these traditions today, our present day athletes. Believe it or not, it is that tradition you remember once you leave here.

compete for UNB are doing month. so in your name, not in the name of the administration who are presently making these decisions. The athlete, the student, you. These are the ones who should be making the decisions about this change.

This unique aspect of ours. the various names for the teams, could be an extremely positive thing if we want it to be. If the administration would only put half the effort into publicizing what we already have, instead of spending needless time, energy and money on promoting a new name, much of the present confusion regarding the names could be eliminated. We do not need a new name. What we do need is a well organized publicity campaign to promote our present team names.

Those in our conference already know who we are. As for being nationally recognized by a singular name, can most of you off-hand recall the names of other universities across Canada? Do you know the team name for UBC? University of Alberta? Laucommon name for all of our rentian? Manitoba? McGill? It is doubtful that most of you do unless you are It is also felt that a really interested and selfcommon name will make it informed. Why then do All things considered, one easier to design a logo for those in favor of a name change feel that UNB's new better

There have been isolated of excellent logos utilizing incidents of confusion with team names, particularly Another point which has when the Red Rebels were been brought up in favor of called the Red Shirts after the single name is that it winning the AUAA men's

If you are not an athlete the same vein, the Red common knowledge for the

good winning reputations flicts. which they have worked Had those three voted, it long and hard to establish, is very likely that the vote such as the Bloomers and for a name change would the Black Bears, to name have been no by a majority only two, will have a of one and never would common association of have been brought to Dr. name with those teams who Anderson for approval. In have not been as successful such an important issue, on the national scene. When which was not approved the you mix good with poor, previous year, it would you could get mediocre. Do seem only fair that these we want to settle for that? three individuals have the Do the athletes?

So far all the objections opinions. against the name change To top it all off, the Phys. presented here could per- Ed. Faculty Council has haps be passed off as sloppy never determined officially sentimentality for the hard what their quorum for nosed realist. The truth is, passing votes would be. Yet such a change will mean an they can attempt to say unnecessary strain on al. that this single majority budgets. There are a few of the majority? How is that teams which have recently possible? people don't really want in wanted? doubtful.

perhaps you are saying, "So Bloomers were recognized asking. What most people what, it doesn't concern in name only during the do not realize is that the me." But you're wrong. It closing ceremonies of the vote had a majority of two does. The athletes who Canada Winter Games last in favor and during that meeting no less than three A single name for all the faculty members who are teams would tend to strongly opposed to a name generalize their reputa- change were not present tions. Those teams with because of timetable con-

chance to express their

ready too tight athletic vote represents the opinion

had their names crested on All in all, a great deal can their uniforms, crested so be said about the proposed that they are not remov- change, both in favor and able. The only alternative against. However, it should for them is to discard these indicate something when still servicable uniforms and only last year the athletes purchase new ones. Can we strongly opposed this same really afford such an proposal. Why can't the extravagence, all for a administration understand name change that a lot of that such a change is not

the first place? It is If you agree with this point of view, then let your This whole name change opinions be known. Talk to thing resurfaced again this your friends about it, start is voted on petitions against it to be at a meeting of the Physical presented at the Senate Education Faculty Council meeting in April, write a February 22. At that letter to the Physical meeting it was recommend. Education Faculty Council or ed that the name of the even the President. It's not UNB athletic teams be too late to do something if we act now, but it must be standardized and the 'Pion- now and it must come from

| NO! I do not want the present team names dropped in favour of a single name. |                                            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| YES! I want a single name for the varsity teams.                             |                                            |
| ATHLETES, FANS, STUDENTS, COACHES,                                           |                                            |
| AND PROFS                                                                    |                                            |
| YOUR RESPONSE MAY INFLUENCE                                                  |                                            |
| THIS ISSUE!                                                                  | THE BRUNSWICKAN                            |
| reply via campus mail or in person to :                                      | Rm 35,SUB,UNB Campus<br>Sports Department. |
|                                                                              |                                            |

PTS 13 10

10

one is You risk

alor and

indos.

A and

EEP WITH embarrashe New loes too. NCREASE

ou one -

say so is will cost NT will be session at 3:30. major or tory next me! Free

KITS PUB: ast pub of ntered at iends, get n down. If er, come st. There by all. LECTIVE'S

at 8:30

N AND

Tilly 230,

cents. at s bien-RE. Andy Man and tilization cLaggan, March 22.

tend. T BALL ested in the UNB contact sible at