

not hesitate to say that they did not dare to come, because had they been seen there their credit would have been injured.

The same thing happened in Edmonton. When I returned home from Ottawa I was asked to address a dinner meeting at the Macdonald hotel in Edmonton. This meeting was called by the Canadian Arab friendship league. Again sixty or seventy of the key people in Edmonton were invited to attend, and again less than a handful—a half dozen, I think—put in an appearance. They told me the same thing, that they were frightened of the anti-defamation league. That is the truth, and what is the good of hiding it?

Mr. HARTT: No, it is because the speaker was boring.

Mr. JAQUES: Very funny! Very funny, indeed!

Mr. HARTT: We will get acquainted.

Mr. KNOWLES: You are going to be all right, over there.

Mr. HARTT: We are going to be pals.

Mr. JAQUES: I have no quarrel, nor can I have any quarrel with any one loyal to Canada and to the British commonwealth. But I give fair warning that I will fight any man who is out to betray our country and to turn it over to the tender mercies of the communists. I am going to fight him, and I don't care whether he is Jew or Gentile.

Mr. HARTT: That's the boy; we are with you. Stick to your guns.

Mr. JAQUES: Let anybody get up and—

Mr. HARTT: But don't shake all over the place.

Mr. LOW: I see you are wearing your pants thin.

Mr. HARTT: I don't follow it.

Mr. LOW: Don't make foolish remarks, then.

Mr. HARTT: Of that you are a good judge.

Mr. JAQUES: I do not want to be interrupted continually. What is this criticism? What is this fear? What is behind it? What does it amount to? It is nothing but character assassination, and great principles are involved. Evil men have gained control of propaganda, and they can smear and assassinate the character of anyone who dares to raise his voice against them. Give a dog a bad name, and hang him. Smear a public man by misquoting what he says and prevent him from answering by refusing to publish what he does say, and

[Mr. Jaques.]

he can be destroyed as thousands of honest, patriotic public men have been and are being destroyed. This is nothing but the modern version of lynch law by which honourable men can be morally lynched by the masses, so-called public opinion, which is fed on the lying propaganda of these character assassins. The masses can have no opinion of their own; they are indifferent to the truth and too cowardly to defend it even if they know the truth. Only responsible, free individuals can be brave and just and moral. The masses are mobs and a mob rules by lynch law. That is how dictators gained power in Germany and Russia, and that is how the communists hope to gain control of the world.

What is a fascist? I was surprised when I looked up the definition of the word "fascist" in the encyclopedia and found that it means anti-communist. When addressing audiences in the United States and in Canada I have noticed that when I spoke against communism invariably the word thrown at me was "fascist". The chief communist smear is anti-Semitism. That serves a double purpose. It frightens public men from denouncing the activities of the communists and at the same time it frightens Jews who are not communists—and they are in the majority—into running to the communists for protection and paying them for it. That is well known.

What is a quisling today? I asked that question the other day in the committee. We were discussing displaced persons who had been sifted out in order that a certain percentage might be brought to this country or to the United States. I wanted to know how they had been sifted out, and I was told that the quislings and traitors had been sent back whence they came. Then I said, "What is a quisling today?" It is quite clear that if Russia was helping to do the sifting anyone who opposed communism would be called a quisling and be sent back to Russia for punishment. Hundreds of thousands, not to say millions of people have been sent back to Russia to their death or to worse than death, while the united nations, we included, have stood by and nobody has raised his voice against it because, if they did, they would be smeared by the anti-defamation league.

Communism is not an economic question. In the United States some of the richest men are leading communists, multi-millionaires like Marshall Field, Mr. Corliss Lamont, a partner of Morgan's, and others. I suppose the most communistic community and perhaps the richest community in the United States is Hollywood. I have been there and I know that to be a fact. The essential nature of