



There may be many other Rain Coats—but you are safe with the \$15 Semi-ready "Imperva" Rain Coat.

All Top Coats of the Spring Fashion 1906—in Chesterfields and Surtouts. The \$20 line is emphasized.

They have the distinctive style which marks the well-dressed gentleman.

SEMI-READY WARDROBE—26 King Street West, Toronto.

THE COBALT DISCOVERIES

More Evidence Concerning Haines and His Finds.

When the Cobalt investigation, postponed from last Thursday, resumed its sittings yesterday morning in Osgoode Hall, Douglas Raymond, civil engineer, of Windsor, and a graduate of the School of Practical Science, Mr. Ritchie, K.C., appeared for the attorney-general, and E. F. B. Johnston represented Mr. Hargreaves, and Mr. Chilton the White Silver Co. Raymond testified that he was a fourth year student in 1904 and studied with Haines, whom he had known for ten years, and with whom he roomed. It was after Christmas he first saw samples of ore, but could not say as to whether they were Cobalt ore or not. Later, in confidence Haines told Raymond that he was going to Cobalt, and some time after, at the latter's home in Windsor, examined that he had been up and examined a number of workings and exhibited a number of specimens. The two subsequently visited the mine, department at the parliament buildings and pointed out a number of workings he stated he had seen. They marked down some four parcels, said to be taken, and agreed to make application in Raymond's name.

Cross-examined by Mr. Johnston, Raymond stated that Haines had not told him of discoveries when the application was made for the lots in lot 3, concession 4, Coleman.

"When," said Mr. Johnston, "when you swore in your affidavit that Haines had made valuable discoveries of mineral ore you swore falsely? Witness did not reply. Raymond subsequently met Mr. Hargreaves at the King Edward Hotel, testified that he met Haines in Toronto between Nov. 24 and Dec. 14, when the latter questioned him regarding Cobalt.

Mrs. Satterlee of Cobalt, who formerly kept a boarding house there, testified that she could not remember seeing Haines. She also stated that she met Mr. Hargreaves at the King Edward Hotel on her arrival.

Hargreaves, under examination, stated that he had paid Haines \$300 for his balance of right, and that minerals could easily have been found by Haines.

Toronto Leads in Diamonds.

Nowhere else on this Continent can diamonds be purchased so advantageously as at Toronto's Diamond Hall.

Gems are personally selected in Amsterdam, and bought from the cutters at "spot cash" prices. The store's permanent European buying office enables it to take advantage of every market fluctuation.

And diamonds enter Canada "duty free."

Ryrie Bros. LIMITED
134-138 Yonge St.

ROCHESTER WON THE FIRST DEFEATING TORONTO 7-5

Toronto Lost Thru Errors—Every Toronto Man Had a Hit But White—Ronan a Threebagger

Toronto lost the first game of the series to Rochester by a score of 7-5. Toronto had a three-bagger to his credit and White a two-bagger. Every man hit but Jack White.

Buffalo defeated Montreal and are now unduped leaders. No other games were played on account of rain.

	Won.	Lost.	Pct.
Buffalo	15	9	.640
Newark	16	10	.615
Jersey City	13	11	.542
Rochester	12	13	.480
Baltimore	11	14	.440
Providence	11	14	.440
Montreal	11	14	.440
Toronto	9	16	.360

Games today—Toronto at Rochester, Montreal at Buffalo, Baltimore at Providence, Jersey City at Newark.

ROCHESTER 7, TORONTO 5.

Albert's Braves were defeated by the local team today in an exciting game. Cutting out the errors, the Maple Leafs have given the Braves a much stiffer argument. The Rochester team profited by the mistakes, and also hit Mitchell (line) several times for runs, but fell short at the end of the game. The hitting of O'Brien and White were the features. The score:

	A.B.R.H.O.A.E.
Duffy, c.f.	3 1 2 1 0 0
Burrell, 3b.	4 0 1 0 0 0
Wallace, 1b.	4 0 1 0 0 0
Clancy, 1b.	4 0 1 0 0 0
Cartsch, c.	4 0 1 0 0 0
Lundy, 2b.	3 1 0 2 0 0
O'Brien, s.s.	3 1 1 0 0 0
Walters, p.	4 0 0 0 0 0
Totals	34 7 10 27 10 1

Toronto: A.B.R.H.O.A.E.

	A.B.R.H.O.A.E.
Thoney, c.	4 1 1 0 0 0
Weidensaul, 1b.	4 1 1 0 0 0
Frick, 3b.	4 1 1 0 0 0
Albertson, 2b.	4 1 1 0 0 0
Ronan, 2b.	3 1 2 0 0 0
McGovern, c.	4 1 1 0 0 0
White, c.f.	4 0 0 0 0 0
Tansey, s.s.	3 1 1 0 0 0
Mitchell, p.	4 1 2 1 0 0
Totals	34 5 9 24 18 3

Other Eastern League Scores.

At Jersey City—Toronto v. Newark, postponed account rain.

At Buffalo: R.H.E. Montreal 2-0 2 0 0 0 0-2-3-3

Batteries—Reefe and Dillon; Kissinger and McManus. Umpire—Killy.

At Providence—Providence v. Baltimore, not scheduled.

National League Scores.

At Cincinnati: R.H.E. Cincinnati 3-0 2 0 0 0 0-5-8-2

Batteries—Fraser and Livingston; McCloskey, Ritchie and Doolin. Umpire—Conway and Carpenter.

At Chicago: R.H.E. Chicago 0-0 1 0 0 0 0-4-9-2

Batteries—Brown and Moran; Lindeman and Needham. Umpire—Johnstone.

At St. Louis: R.H.E. St. Louis 1-0 1 0 0 0 0-5-9-1

Batteries—Thompson and Grady; Wills, Broshman and Bowman. Umpire—Emslie and O'Day.

At Pittsburgh—Pittsburgh v. Brooklyn, rain.

No Games in American.

New York-Detroit game postponed, rain.

Philadelphia-St. Louis game postponed, rain.

Boston-Chicago game postponed, rain.

Plenty of Snap to Captain Ginter.

New York Sun. On the maiden city of the Kauterin Augusta Victoria gave everyone who was anyone stopped at the door of the restaurant to shake hands with the little very red-headed man with pointed mustache who stood sweeping an unquiet eye over the restaurant.

"Why, Captain Ginter!" they said.

Probably no one among them knew his real name, which was Keller. For many years he was head waiter in the Carlton, London. He got his name for his complexion and for the snap and go with which he ran his corps of waiters. He is a German, and he looks like a Frenchman, and he is a born drill master.

Midway on the voyage a wealthy woman aboard called him to her stateroom.

"I'd like to give a dinner party," she said. "I suppose we'd better call it tomorrow night. I'd have given it to-night if I had thought of it in time."

"Why not to-night?" he asked.

"But it is nearly 6 o'clock."

"If madame will telephone to the guests inviting them for 7. I shall be ready to serve her." He carried it through on the hour.

Hobson Plans Congress Comes High.

Montgomery, Ala., correspondent of The New York World: Richmond, Va., has certified to the secretary of state that it cost him \$8848 to defeat Representative J. H. Bankhead for the nomination for congress at the recent Democratic primaries in the sixth district. Of this sum \$1275 was spent for printing and \$2000 for postage.

Captain Hobson was a naval constructor, and resigned from the navy in February three years ago, at a time when his salary as shore duty was \$275 a year. He first asked for retirement, which would have carried with it a pension on the ground of defective vision. He was refused, and he was fairly lucrative. It is seen that in the recent contest he spent considerably more than he would have earned in two years had he continued in the navy, and more than one year's salary as congressman.

James Broadbent, mayor of Huddersfield, England, is making the proper care of babies a leading feature of his administration. He issues instructions as to their food, and says a baby should be weighed every fortnight to keep tab on its nutrition. A baby-weighing machine is kept at the mayor's office for the purpose.

LENNOX'S LETTER BOOK HAS TWO PAGES MISSING

Architect Says He Knows Nothing of Them—Civic Enquiry Resumed.

The discovery that two copies of letter bearing upon correspondence relating to city hall contracts were missing from the letter book of Architect E. J. Lennox was an outstanding feature of the proceedings of the resumed civic investigation yesterday. An interesting point that arose also was the question of whether contractors who have had deductions made from their contract figures have the right to reap the full percentage of profits reckoned upon by them at the time of tendering. Mr. Lennox held that it was in justification of his having made over the sum of \$1043 to certain of them, but Judge Winchester held emphatic views to the contrary.

Vice-President Samuel S. Clark of Bennett & Wright proved consistent in his role of absentee. He was not on the stand yesterday, and enquiries failed to discover his location. The investigation will continue to-day at 9:30 a.m.

The morning's proceedings opened with the taking of the evidence of members of the Cannon family, who have been occupying rent free. Mr. Clark's residence, 12 Harvard-avenue, in his absence. Mrs. Clark, who had been instructed in a letter from Mr. and Mrs. Clark were delivered at the house and were given to Mr. Clapperton. She had not heard from Mr. Clapperton. The whole Clark family had gone away in the latter part of April. Mr. Clark's furniture, which had been in the house, but she didn't know whether it would return.

In California.

James Connon, the next witness, was charged by Judge Winchester with giving given answers to detectives which were evasive and untrue.

He denied that he had been instructed not to tell. Asked if he had received any letter from Clark, he received one dated May 15, from Reiland, California, and postmarked Bryn Mawr, Cal. The writer asked that letters be addressed to J. J. Melville, letters to be addressed to Clark, he said he didn't know what he would want the furniture moved from Clark's house.

Witness held under pressure that he didn't know whether Clark had instructed him to withhold his address. Mr. Clark had gone away some time ago, but whether he did not know.

Bookkeeper R. O. McCullough of Bennett & Wright said he had last heard of Clark as being in Detroit. Clark, a son who was in the firm's employ, had left, saying merely he was going to New York.

Mr. McCullough, in the afternoon, exhibited a statement showing that since Clark's absence the firm had charged to his account \$2000, but when on March 23, \$50 on April 14, and \$200 on April 23, a total of \$2500. The second amount, marked "house," was paid to Mr. Clark, but he didn't know whether Clark had actually been paid the money. He did not know where Clark or Clapperton, who went away May 4, 1906, were now.

Entry of the amount was by Mr. Leech. Witness was advised by the judge to request Mr. Leech's attendance.

Back to Mr. Lennox.

The examination of Mr. Lennox opened with an enquiry by Mr. Drayton into a contract he stated to have been made by Mr. Lennox with the Ambrose Kent Co. for a pneumatic clock system. Mr. Lennox replied that John and Son were the manufacturers.

He said that the pneumatic clock system had been paid for by the contractors, it being assumed that the Ambrose Kent Co. had agreed to furnish the clock in addition to \$1161. The contract terms. Witness explained that the smaller item was for tubing work under a separate contract, and that there was no other food, so far as we know, so nutritious, so strengthening for children as Malta-Vita, the perfect malted wheat food. This is not only because Malta-Vita is so rich in all the nutrition the body needs for sustaining health and strength, but also because it is the fact that the nutrition of the malted wheat is so easily digested and assimilated that its life-giving elements are taken up by the system, forming rich, red blood in abundance and giving growth and vigor to body and brain. Malta-Vita is so good to eat! All grocers, now 10 cents.

Two Million Little Children Starving

Poor and Rich Alike Suffer From Lack of Good Food.

We notice that John Spargo, in his new book, "The Blither-Cry of the Children," says no less than two million American children between the ages of five and fourteen are victims of chronic under-feeding. They are the easy prey of disease, nervous, irritable, and both physically and mentally stunted. There is no other food, so far as we know, so nutritious, so strengthening for children as Malta-Vita, the perfect malted wheat food. This is not only because Malta-Vita is so rich in all the nutrition the body needs for sustaining health and strength, but also because it is the fact that the nutrition of the malted wheat is so easily digested and assimilated that its life-giving elements are taken up by the system, forming rich, red blood in abundance and giving growth and vigor to body and brain. Malta-Vita is so good to eat! All grocers, now 10 cents.

Business Man Suicides.

St. John, N.B., May 28.—(Special.)—Samuel Kingston, a prominent business man of Chatham, N.B., committed suicide last night by taking Paris green. He died in terrible agony.

Dies Suddenly.

Ettrahford, May 28.—(Special.)—Michael Dunkin, aged 76, one of the oldest residents, died suddenly to-day.

of the amount of profit to Bennett & Wright. Witness didn't remember. Coming to the contracts for elevators Mr. Drayton asked Mr. Wright's evidence was correct wherein he stated that he had seen the specifications for elevators before anyone else did. Mr. Lennox declared that no one could have seen them until printed. The proofs were sent to the city hall, and then to his own office. Possibly, they might have been seen in advance there or at his office.

"Would that be right?" was asked, and Mr. Lennox opined he would not be particularly handy about it. He didn't think tenders so favored would have any unfair advantage in preparing figures.

The Elevator Contract.

Mr. Drayton proceeded to sift some correspondence on the part of Mr. Wright with several interested firms at the time when elevator tenders were being advertised for. Mr. Lennox being referred to by the writer as having called upon them. Witness denied having had special business transactions with the Watson-Jack firm of Montreal, who he said, were agents for the Sprague Co. Mr. Lennox admitted that there was a good deal of jealousy felt among the elevator companies in 1888. He had had ordinary dealings with a number of them. Mr. Drayton wanted to know if there wasn't a live issue between the Sprague and Jacques Robinson companies, and witness admitted the latter was aggrieved because the tender of \$23,000 was turned down in favor of one for hydraulic elevators at \$18,000 more.

Another letter by Mr. Wright was produced, intimating that Mr. Lennox would "make matters right" for a certain tender.

"What was there to make right?" "I can't tell you."

"Do you remember seeing letters of any kind?"

"I don't remember any, or writing any, or seeing any, or anything of the kind. No allusion in any of the letters related to anything questionable, and denied having gone to the Sprague and Jacques Robinson companies. He had been to New York a dozen times about city hall contracts.

Mr. Drayton remarked that he had found Mr. Lennox had written a letter on July 10, 1887, to that firm, and also to a Baltimore firm, and that there was a delay while Lennox's letter-books were examined. Mr. Lennox was then asked about bills against R. Dennis & Co., Dominion Bridge Company and Gardener & Co. He said he didn't know about bills against R. Dennis & Co. for work done by the city, and was credited to it.

He had no personal interest in the city. In reply to Mr. Drayton's quoting from his letter book of letters dated July 10, 1887, to Mitchell & Co. and the Schultz & Co. of Montreal, he said he didn't know about them. He said he didn't know about them. He said he didn't know about them.

Mr. Drayton then remarked that the letter to Mitchell & Co. and to a previous letter, which should have been dated July 28, but was missing. "I don't know anything about it," was declared.

"And this letter torn out?" queried Mr. Drayton. "I don't know," was the reply.

Mr. Lennox was again in the dark. "I don't know," was the reply.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.

Mr. Drayton then asked Mr. Lennox to check he had on Bennett & Wright in this respect. The reply was that he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check. He said he had nothing to check.</