Il armed, and y to be raifed ecreeing what wages should fuch a system in for a fingle ate of ease and id not be worse at in Europe?

France; to fay exaggeration a trade of the mulof that power, argument, be tadmitted and d in any hands

France arrien? laws being fube hands of the lights of Man" tions in France

he found in my hearts, I truft, the blood of men who had dly affaffination

are here adverted if everal thoulands roumftances of the ving fuffered every biddy was dragged which define with proverbially

which was fuggefted by the Jacobins in France, would, I trna, meet with little encouragement in this country. But popular tyranny is a catching phrenzy, and the most dreadfal disorders must ensure all the property of society is at the mercy of those who possess nothing. A tack and plunder will surely follow power in such hands. And I would live in Turkey rather than in England, if the wild and preposterous propositions sounded on the "Rights of Man" were to become effective in this kingdom. In other words, I have property; and I do not clink to live where the first beggar I meet may with the sword in one hand, and Rights of Man in the other, demand a share of that which a good government tells me is my ocon.

That there were many respectable men in this country who wished well to the Conditution of France, as ethablished in 1789, is not to be doubted, and these persons affert, with respect to the power being put in the hands of the people, fo far would we have gone and no farther; but they forget that by going so far they have given the power from their own hands, and have themselves made for outrage and diforder, an inlet, which they have no longer power to close. But if these men may be excused for an error in judgment, let it not for a moment be imagined that there is any thing respectable in the Levellers, your Fellows of the "Rights of Man," whose principles are not a jot better than those of highwaymen and housebreakers; for the object of both is equalizing property. The Farmers should never forget, that the same principle which attacks a property of 10,000 £. a year, because it is too large relatively to other properties, attacks also a farm of 200 £. a year, for the same reason; nay, of 50£. a year, or the little orchard of the indultrious daylabourer of 5£. a year, because that also is large, when compared with the condition of those who have nothing.

It is curious enough to compare the original French declaration of Rights, and the subsequent practice of the National Assem-

When accounts of these enormities were read in the porter-houses in London, frequented by the lower order of the people, burs of generous indignation and abhorrence of French crueky and cowardice, issued from the lips of the auditors, demonstrative of the English manly spirit, and which did honour both to their hearts and undergandings, as SRITONS TRULY BRAYE.