A THIRD REPLY.

The instantant of the second of the second

QUEREC, Aug. 9, 1862.

MY LORD BISHOP,—

PELNT

of of the in

ALTHOUGH I have by incontestable proofs, nay, even by Your Lordship's own unwitting admissions, cleared my character as a Christian and a Minister of the Gospel, from every charge and insinuation with which, from your exalted position as Metropolitan, you might fain have obscured it, you seem to be determined not to let the subject rest where it had her successfully and triumphantly placed on the issuer of my "replies."

For a third time you have recurred to the same attack. But I am confident that for a third time I can satisfactorily dispose of all that is contained in Your Lordship's third Pastoral.

I shall on this occasion, as before, append your Pastoral to my answer, that the public, to which you have appealed, may be fully and fairly furnished with the controversy as it stands, and pronounce their verdict accordingly.

In my inmost heart, I deplore this controversy, but the onus rests upon Your Lordship, whose efforts to defame my fair name, and that of my respected father-in-law, Gen. Evans, both in private and in public, by all the means and influence in your power, and at all hazards, demanded our vindication.—And it will be easily conceived that it is not a little difficult to write calmly under such painful and aggravated circumstances, into which I am again forced in self-defence to enter by your Lordship's continued attacks.

"As yet your Lordship has not even attempted to disprove the correctness of my remarks at the Islington meeting respecting (1) "the teaching of Trinity College, Toronto," [which is the only institution I referred to in my speech,] and (2) "the paucity of Evangelical men in the British North American Colonies generally."