Great Lakes Water Levels

its battle with inflation. I therefore move seconded by the hon, member for Hillsborough (Mr. Macquarrie):

That the government come to the aid of motherhood in its fight against inflation by reintroducing the family income security program into the House no later than the end of this week, and, further, that it restore the buying power of the family allowance for all Canadians to bring it in line with the 1973 consumer price index.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon, Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent. The motion cannot be put.

FLOODS

HIGH WATER LEVELS OF GREAT LAKES—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. Terry Grier (Toronto-Lakeshore): Mr. Speaker, I wish to move a motion under Standing Order 43 concerning an urgent matter which has already been touched upon in the statement made by the Minister of the Environment, that is, the growing damage being caused in the Great Lakes area by high water levels in that lake system. I hope the hon. member opposite whose job it is to shout "No" to every proposition under Standing Order 43—

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. Will the hon. member kindly indicate the terms of the motion so that the Chair may inquire whether there is unanimous consent under the terms of Standing Order 43?

An hon, Member: No!

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Grier: I will, Mr. Speaker. I move seconded by the hon. member for Brant (Mr. Blackburn):

That the government make Canadian forces personnel available to assist the municipalities in constructing protective works at no cost to the municipalities involved, and further that the government offer emergency short-term funding, to be shared on a 50-50 basis with the provinces, to pay for the cost of such works.

• (1430)

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimous consent.
[Mr. Carter.]

UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE

REGULATIONS APPLYING TO FISHERMEN—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION

Mr. John Lundrigan (Gander-Twillingate): Mr. Speaker, because of the fact that persistent representations to the Minister of Manpower and Immigration (Mr. Andras) and the Minister of the Environment (Mr. Davis) have not resulted in the elimination of the discriminatory aspects of unemployment insurance regulations as they pertain to fishermen, I move, seconded by the hon. member for St. John's East (Mr. McGrath):

That a special reference be made from the House to the committee that these regulations be immediately reviewed with the aim of eliminating discrimination against fishermen which is now placing thousands of fishermen unnecessarily on welfare.

Mr. Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity. The hon. member's motion cannot be put.

FOOD PRICES

CONCURRENCE IN FIRST REPORT OF SPECIAL COMMITTEE

On the order: Motions:

April 3, 1973—Mrs. MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway):

That the first report of the Special Committee on Trends in Food Prices, presented to the House on April 2, 1973, be concurred in.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order respecting the procedure of the House today. I can say that there have been discussions among representatives of all the parties in the House, including one of the representatives of the independent members, and I think you will find that there is agreement on two points. The agreement is, of course, subject to the debate itself being allowed.

The two points are, first, that the motion be moved and that the debate take place not now but after the oral question period and, second, that the time limit on speeches in today's debate be 30 minutes for the first spokesman for each of the four organized parties and 20 minutes for all other speakers. I believe you will find that there is agreement on these two points.

Mr. Speaker: Is it agreed and so ordered by the House?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. members may recall that when the motion was originally put there was some doubt on the part of the Chair on a small though perhaps important point of order but one which in the view of hon. members might be overlooked. In any event, this aspect of the matter might be looked at briefly when we reach the item on the order of business after the oral question period.