

Bill No. 58 (Letter N of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Thomas Almer Shields."

Bill No. 59 (Letter O of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Roderick James Ellis."

Bill No. 60 (Letter P of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Florence Mann."

Bill No. 61 (Letter Q of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Samuel John Pegg, junior."

Bill No. 62 (Letter R of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Harry Hambleton."

Bill No. 63 (Letter S of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Izzie Klinmentz (otherwise known as Izzie Climans)."

Bill No. 64 (Letter T of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of John Hutchison Durnan."

Bill No. 65 (Letter U of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Richard James Wright."

Bill No. 66 (Letter V of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Mary Ellen Ayre."

Bill No. 67 (Letter X of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Helen Marie Pritchard."

On motion of Mr. Mackinnon, it was ordered,—That a Message be sent to the Senate to return to that House the evidence, etc., taken before the Standing Committee of the Senate on Divorce, to whom was referred the Petition on which the Bill No. 45 (Letter B of the Senate), intituled: "An Act for the relief of Jessie Louise Cowan," was founded.

The following Order of the House was issued to the proper officer under subsection 4 of Rule 37:—

By Mr. Stevens:—Order of the House for a Return showing:—

1. Whether the Dominion Government owns a block of territory in British Columbia known as the Peace River Block. If so, the total area.

2. What considerations were given to British Columbia in return for the surrender of the said area to the Dominion Government.

3. Whether any of the land in the said Peace River Block within the boundaries of the Province of British Columbia has been sold or leased. If so, (a) how much; (b) in how many separate parcels; (c) the total amount received from the sale price of the property or in return for lease, or in rentals, if leased.

Mr. Knox, seconded by Mr. Hoey, moved,—That, in the opinion of this House, it is expedient that more effective consideration and recognition be given to the resolution adopted by Parliament on March 12, 1923, recognizing the priority of the Hudson Bay Railway, with reference to other transportation projects started subsequently.

And a Debate arising thereon;

Mr. Speaker having arrived in the House, took the Chair.

TUESDAY, 5th May, 1925.

And the Debate continuing; the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

The House then adjourned at 12.55 o'clock, a.m.

RODOLPHE LEMIEUX,

Speaker.