which after removal of the overies for fibroid, monstruction has continued.

The long held view of supernumerary overies is discarded by Bland Sutton. He thinks that these so-called structures are morely small bits separated from the main mass of the overy by deep fissures.

In several of these cases of unchecked menstruction, second operations have been performed by which small bits of every left from the first operation have been removed, being followed by a complete cossition.

- 2. Relation to the Fullopian Tubes.—According to Lawson Tait, removal of the tubes, the everies being left in situ, is followed in 95% of cases by cossation of monstruction. This remarkable statement has been little noticed. If it can be established by extended observations, it proves that there is some close relationship between the tubes and the monstrud process.
- 3. Relation to a Special Nervous Mechanism,—Johnstone has advanced the view, supported by Lawson Thit and others, that the menstrual act is a special function related to a distinct nervous mechanism. They think that possibly a special nerve trunk running in the upper part of the broad ligament may convey the regulating currents. Johnstone suggests that when the warries or tubes are removed, menstruction is checked because this nerve is ligitaried or divided. In the cases in which removal of the appendages is not followed by cossition of the flow, he thinks that the nerve may have escaped division or ligature, owing possibly to its being placed low in the broad ligament.

While undoubtedly believing in this special nervous mechanism of menatruation it seems to me that possibly the nerve tract is not so limited as Johnstone suggests. The plexiform nature of the nerves about the uterus is so complex that it is presumable that the impulses affecting the nucesa of the uterine body travel by many routes in the broad ligaments.

- 4. Relation of Menstruction to Conception.—By some it is believed that menstruction is a process for preparing the uterine uncose for the engrating of the fertilized evum, that it is in fact an essential feature. This idea was promulgated when it was thought that the ovum required a connective tissue free from covering epithelium to become engrafted on. I have repeatedly urged its improbability on the following grounds:
- a. In all manufactures below home, so far as is known, the owning grows in relation to the epithelium-covered attering ancesa and does not require a connective-tissue surface. The utering epithelium is