Letter from Sir Francis Hincks.

Sir Francis Hincks has addressed the following letter to the Montreal Herald:

"Sir. I own that I read with amszement in the shade. The causes ow discussed, and various provided in 1846 against Col. Gugy's administration state, regard it as a rebuke neat influence, while his he used any influence at coher. Thus the New that "the administration were blow—the severest the tinflicted by those who olitical friends," while the thinks the result valuable without inquiring as to its truth, you remark:—'I is admitted that the publication of the situation Letter from Sir Francis Hincks. I can discover, that air. noimes ever stated that 'it had been abstracted from a private drawer.' If he did, he was under a wrong impression. Now, first, as to the character of the letter, I cannot prove this better than of the letter, I cannot prove this better than there me of the val is of the val is of the val is for a copy, and was also a personal friend of mine, he was naturally very sensitive as to the reference to himself and very anxious to find out where I had got the copy—a secret, ras published a god record of the following specific my contemporaries. No or could the have blamed Mr. Holmes have he given I fetch deript, in stong opposition to me, said:—
them "Why they should be kept secret we can"
the clearly see. The document was received a did not official, but Mr. Holmes would certainly sely resely reaction and of the world. We do not see ourselve all three world. We do not see will teach attempting actnars with the mean teach prevent Mr. Hicks or any one clae if he thought exhaustive attempting and the proper.' I will conclude by a brief extract from my defence in the Pilot of 6th February, 1846. It is amusing to observe the difference between the Herald of 1846 and 1873.

"The Herald has made an extraordinary Hadgelars that any publication the letter was "a pitaful intrigue to-amage his Excellency Lord Cathcart in ablic estimation." What! His Excellency plaints, is published after having been shown as it now appears to several persons, it; and power happens the persons, it; and power haps a dozen friends, and each of them again thry, is nidable to as many more, and the object, zecording to the Herald, was to injure Lord Cathear's, and disactiving believed it would have quite a contrary United

e, the the circumstances I am institled in this "I am, &c.,
"F. HINCKS." The Will of John Stuart Mill. Extracts from the will of the late John Stuart Mill are published in the London journals. They are characteristic of the man, and full of interest. The original will was made in 1853, and by it he bequeather, all his property to his wife, since dead, said in the event of her dying in his lifetime to his steptagaghter. Miss Helen Taylor. A codicil, dated Feb. 14, 1872, revokes all previous dispositions, names Miss Taylor sole executor, and gives her the which; estate, real and personal. She is to be literary executor also, with full power to edit all or any of his works, and publish all or any of his manuscripts as she may think it. The his manuscripts as she may think fit. Then comes this paragraph about his autobic-graphy: graphy: —

'And whereas in these days no one is seite my biography." Had Miss Taylor died before him the es-

a crew of seen young seal should do.

The growth of the orange, this summer, is startling, says the Savannah Advertiser, never was there anything like it. Owners of orange groves at a distance will be astoniahed when they return in the winter and find the advance of their golden investments. This rapid growth of orange groves this scervers, and cit the life, the shore, as several, as several seamer was steamer was teamer was with the regularity of clock-work.



