nporarily residing in this not required from, 87; residing within the juris- tt time of application, ntending to go abroad ment
not required from, 87;
t time of application
ntending to go abroad
ment
ntiff in hands of defend-
n account of
an, from 88
an, from
from 88; OI infant, not
om, 88; of infant, not from
m of 88
Court in lique of
in the jurisdiction, not
where plaintiff has, 27;
chattels
mount of 89
tain
oad, in case of 87
otain
dings, pending88, 511 ho may be, 88; must be
ho may be, 88; must be
; on death or insolvency fresh security may be
it to 88
STATE OF WIFE-
STATE OF WIFE—with reference to 40
IED WOMAN. 1.
STATE OF WIFE—with reference to 40 IED WOMAN. 1. etesy in312, 313 ESY.
rtesy in312, 313
rtesy in312, 313 sy. AMINATION Mar-
AMINATION Mar-
AMINATION Mar-
AMINATION Mar-
AMINATION Mar-
tesy in

•	101
SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE Page	
agreement—Costs where	1
performance refused, but other re lief granted	-
See France Communication 36	3
Married warmen 35	
—Married woman—Mortgage of separate real estate—Parol agreement to assign mortgage in consideration of its payment—Specific perform anou—Statute of Frauds—Lien 450 See Frauds. Statute of Frauds—See	a
assign mortgage in consideration	?
of its payment—Specific perform	.
ance-Statute of Frauds-Lien 450	
SPLITTING CAUSE OF ACTION,	
See Injunction. 6. [524, 531	.
STATUTE OF FRAUDS.	
See Frauds, Statute of.	
STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS.	
See LIMITATIONS, STATUTE OF.	Ι.
STATUTORY REMEDY25, 39	
STAV OF PROCEEDINGS	1 :
—Stay of proceedings—Terms—Chapter 49, C. S. N. B., 3. 66.] Upon a judgment overruling the defendants' demurrer, the Court refused to stay proveding.	1.
C. S. N. B., s. 66.] Upon a judgment	١,
overruling the defendants' demurrer, the	1
an appeal considering proceedings pending	7
would result to the plaintiff by a delay than to the defendant by a refusal to stay	1 ?
than to the defendant by a refusal to stay	1
proceedings, but the plaintiff was required to accept an undertaking for the payment of the costs occasioned by the demurrer in case the appeal was dismissed, and to give an undertaking to force them;	12
of the costs opposite and for the payment	1 1
case the appeal was dispussed and the	19
an undertaking to forego them in case the appeal was allowed. McGrath v. Franke	l
appeal was allowed. McGRATH v. FRANKE	l a
2. Appeal—Stay of proceedings—Inter- locutory application.] Where a party is exercising an undoubted right of appeal the Court will stay proceedings.	b
2. Appeal-Stay of proceedings-Inter-	t
exercising an undoubted right a party is	t
the Court will stay proceedings under the	t
the Court will stay proceedings under the judgment appealed from where necessary to brevent the appeal	u
to prevent the appeal, if successful, from being nugatory.	g
Observations upon appeal	R
tory proceedings. Wellow ET Al Wes	p
Observations upon appeals in interlocutory proceedings. Weldon RT AL, v. William Parks & Son (Limited) ET AL. (No. 2)	b
	in
constitution - Order for dis-	pl
indemnity for delay.] Upon an order for discovery by the defendants, the Court made it a condition of staying proceedings pending an appeal, that the defendants put in security to indemnity the points of	T
discovery by the defendants the Court	fo
made it a condition of staying proceedings	te
pending an appeal, that the defendants put	tif
in security to indemnify the plaintiff from	CO
having no judicial doubt as to the Court	St
ness of its order, and considering that	R_{3}
in security to indemnify the plaintiff from any loss arising from the delay; the Court having no judicial doubt as to the correctness of its order, and considering that greater injury would fall upon the plaintiff by a delay than to the defendants by a regions at the stay proceedings. Romerton we may be a constructed that the construction of th	the
fusal to stay proceedings. But a re-	188
fusal to stay proceedings. Robertson v. The St. John City Railway and John B. Zebley. (No. 2)	Co
	ha
A hugo of process of C	up
Costs of application for 102 Dismissal of bill for want of prosecution pending	ed
Dismissal of bill for want of prosecu-	a li
tion pending	pre

Discretionary with Court 98

-Execution for costs pending appeal, [101, 102 Garnishee of fire insurance -- Suit in equity by assignee. See GARNISHEE. Injunction, when stayed pending ap-solvent 511
Jurisdiction, inherent, to 530, 531 STOCK—Bank—Mortgage—Double liabil-ity—Indemnity of mortgagee...972 See BANK. 2. STREET-Alteration of level of 25, 37 See SAINT JOHN, CITY OF. SUMMONS-Writ of. See WRIT OF SUMMONS. TAXATION OF COSTS -Review of . . 85 TELEGRAPH COMPANY - Exclusive right to construct line- Restraint of trade-Notice of agreement—Acquiescence—Unfai Notice of agreement—Acquiescence—Unfair preference—51 Vict. c. 20, s. 240 (b.)—B. N. A. Act, s. 92, s. s. 10 (a.)—Suit by foreign corporation.] The E. & N. A. Ry. Co. were incorporated in 1864, under the laws of the Province of New Brunswick, and in 1889, commend a line of melling of the second and in 1869 owned a line of railroad from Fairville, N. B., to Vanceboro, on the boundary of the State of Maine. In that boundary of the State of Maine. In that year they entered into an agreement with the plaintiffs, a company incorporated in the State of New York, giving the latter the exclusive right to erect and maintain upon the land of the railroad, lines of telegraph which should be the exclusive property of the plaintiffs. The E. & N. A. Ry. Co. agreed to transport gratis employees of the plaintiffs, and materials used by the plaintiffs in recetting and maintaining the lines, and not to transport the emng the lines, and not to transport the em-loyees and materials of any other teleraph company at less than the usual rates. The plaintiffs were to maintain one wire for the use of the railroad, and to furnish elegraphic facilities and supplies at a number of stations on the road. The plaintiffs constructed lines of telegraph, and connected them with their system in the state of Maine. In 1878 the E. & N. A. Ry. Co.'s road was sold under a decree of the Supreme Court in Equity to the St. J. & M. Ry. Co., by whom it was run until M. Ry. Co., for 999 years. Both of these companies and notice of the agreement, and acted raph company at less than the usual rates. o. Ior \$49 years. Both of these companies ad notice of the agreement, and acted pon it. In 1888 the C. P. Ry. Co. obtain-running powers from the N. B. Ry. Co. ere the line, and permission to construct line of telegraph along the railroad. prevent the construction of the line of telegraph, as being in breach of the agreement