FIRE LOSSES IN CANADA FOR FEBRUARY, 1899 (ESTIMATED).

DATE.	LOCATION.	Risk.	Loss.	Loss.
Feb.				
. 3	Barrie	Stores	\$35,000	\$20,000
3	Quebec	Steamer	8,000	3,000
ī	Chatham	Store	1,000	1,000
4	Toronto	do	1,000	1,000
1	Massey	Hotel	3,000	1,500
5	Vancouver	Store	5,000	1,500
	Winnipeg	Hotel	200,000	130,000
7	Sandwich	Dwelling	1,000	1,000
7	McGregor	Stores	15,000	8,000
	Oka	Butter Factory	10,000	2,000
	Essex	Dwelling	8,000	5,000
	Toronto	Warehouse	175,000	118,000
	Buckingham	Stores	18,000	7,000
	Roland, Man	do	10,000	8,000
	Chatham	Store & Dwelling		3,000
	Quebec	Tannery	15,000	15,000
	Brockville	Church	11,000	9,200
	Montreal	Stores	20,000	15,000
	Londond'ry, N.S.	Dwelling	10,000	6,000
	Owen Sound	Store	5,000	5,000
	St. Catharines	Commercial bl'k.	1,200	1,200
	Seaforth	Hotel	2,500	2,500
	Sault Ste Marie.	Saw Mill	4,000	1,000
	Huntingdon	Hotel Stables	4,000	2,800
	Digby, N.S	Conflagration	200,000	30,000
	Gananoque	Bolt factory	9,000	9,000
	Picton	Office	6,000	6,000
	Hull	Hotel	2,000	1,000
	Comber	Dwelling	2,000	1,400
	Toronto	do	1,000	1,000
	Rat Portage	do	2,500	1,500
	Quebec	Store	3,500	2,400
	Rat Portage	Stores	10,000	7,000
	Chatham	do	16,000	10,000
	Bathurst, N.B	do	30,000	
	Quebec	Printing Office	7,500	6,000 2,700
	Cornwall	Store	3,500	1,000
	Brockville	Dwelling	1,000	5,300
	Tp. Nelson	Skating Rink	7,000	1,100
	Paris		5,000	
		Spice Mills	20.0	3,400 4,700
	Toronto	Dwelling	1,200	1,000
	Tp. N. Cayuga Berlin	Button Factory .	5,000	5,000
	Southampton	Lwelling	3,500	2,700
	Port Rowan	Stores	3,000	3,000
	loronto	do	5,000	5,000
	Point Fortune	Hotel & Stores	5,000	3,000
	Hamilton	Foundry	1,000	1,000
	Thorold	do	10,000	5,600
	Simcoe	Dwelling	2,000	2,000
	Barrie	Stores	1,200	1,200
	Tilbury East	Saw Mill	7,000	4,500
	Mattawa	Hotel & Stores.	10,000	4,100
-/				4,

Add 20 per cent. for unreported losses
and losses under \$1,000........\$186,820 \$104,260

Totals.......\$1,120,920 \$625,560

PRELIMINARY TERM ASSURANCES.

Considerable doubt appears to exist as to the attitude which the Canadian Insurance Department has taken with regard to policies which are by their terms declared to be Term Assurances for the first year, and, thereafter, whole Life, Limited Payment Life or Endowment Assurances taken out one year later. This form of contract has been strongly recommended by many leading Actuaries both in the United States and Canada, and it has been adopted by quite a number of companies on both sides of the line. The American Insurance Departments with one exception have all expressed their approval, and considerable interest attaches to the position of our own Department. It has been stated in the press on the one hand, that the Superintendent has approved of the form of policy in the same way as his American confreres have done, and on the other hand it has been asserted with equal postiveness that he has refused to approve it. We are in a position to say that both these statements are incorrect. The course adopted by Mr. Fitzgerald is a middle one. He has agreed to the valuation of such policies in accordance with their terms, but with two provisoes:-

- (1) That the total amount of reserves which the company may set aside shall in no case fall below that required by the Hm. 4 1-2 per cent. Table, such reserves being calculated as if the preliminary term clause did not exist in the policies, and
- (2) That the method of valuation be explained in a note to be inserted at the foot of the company's published returns in the Blue Book.

The companies which adopt the preliminary term plan usually do so in conjunction with a much lower rate of interest than 41-2 p.c., and, as a consequence, while they feel that they have not obtained as full an approval of the system as they would like, they have yet obtained a large proportion of all that they desire.

INSURANCE RISKS AND THE LOCAL AGENT.

A correspondent of "The Finance Chronicle," of London, Eng., signing himself "A Canadian Agent," writes as follows to that paper, prefacing his letter with the question: "What is Wrong?"—

"The year just closed is one of the most disastrous the associated offices have ever experienced in Canada, and the advance figures which appeared in a recent issue of the Insurance and Finance Chronicle of Montreal are sufficiently startling, one would think, to create some speculation as to the cause on the part of those nominally responsible for these results. We have only to add the general expenses, averaging say 32.50, to make the record simply appalling:—

1898.

	Premium.	Losses,	Rate per cent. of premiums received.		
Companies.			Losses.	Expenses.	Total.
British	\$ 5,184,224	\$ 4,032,288	77.78	32.50	110.28
Canadian	1,109,762	685,542 605,689	61.77 65.95	32.50 32.50	94.27
American	210,434	003,003	00.00	32.50	30.40
Totals	7,212,420	5,323,519	73.75	32.50	106.25