e Real

. S. O.

rs' pos-

lands.

paten-

r him.

inder a

n, 218.

ee and

ion by

fective

Trus-

-The agreen pay-

y and

e pur-

Statute

ply in

cestui

sion in

Q. B.

trustee

s, who

gage in

than

ion of actual

uitable

s enti-

of the

ective,

ary to

; Grey

The

tion v.

Title

Locatee—Partition.]—See Crown | damus, namely, where under Rule LANDS.

Statute of Limitations - Ejectment — Possession — Defendant not Claiming under Patentee.] — See EJECTMENT.

Trusts and Trustees-Trustee Act 1891, sec. 13, sub-sec. 1 (a) and (b)-Commencement of Statute-Acknowledgment.] - See WILL, 2.

Unity of Possession—Interruption -Easement.]—See WAY, 2.

LOCATEE.

Free Grant Lands-Execution-Debt Incurred before Location.]—See EXECUTION.

Partition—Jurisdiction—Declaratory Relief-Statute of Limitations -R. S. O. ch. 44, sec. 21, sub-sec. 7.] -See Crown Lands.

LORD'S DAY ACT

Street Railways-R. S. O. ch. 203, sec. 1-Conveying Travellers.]-See SUNDAY.

LOST GRANT.

Doctrine of - Easement. - See WAY, 2.

MANDAMUS.

Action for-Rule 1112-Railways -Damages - 53 Vict. ch. 28, sec. 2 (D.).]—The prerequisites to be observed to obtain a prerogative writ be in charge of it, and A. being such of mandamus are not essential where oldest man and having been ordered

94-VOL. XXVII. O.R.

1112 the plaintiff is personally interested in the fulfilment of a duty of a quasi public character, as in this case the omission of a railway com-

pany to properly fence their tracks. The damages under section 2 of 53 Vict. ch. 28 (D.), are limited to injuries caused to animals by the company's trains or engines; damages incurred in watching cattle by reason of the bad state of the fences, are not recoverable. Young v. Erie and Huron R. W. Co., 530.

MARRIAGE SETTLEMENT.

Mortgage Investments-Loss in Realization - Apportionment. - See TRUSTS.

MARRIED WOMAN'S PROPERTY

R. S. O. ch. 132, sec. 5 - " Employment or Occupation" - Board and Lodging.]—See Husband and WIFE, 1.

MASTER AND SERVANT.

1. Workmen's Compensation for Injuries Act, 1892-55 Vict. ch. 30 (O.), sec. 3, sub-sec. 3-Negligence of Person to whose Orders Workmen bound to Conform—Custom of Business.]—The plaintiff was injured in using a derrick in connection with the construction by the defendants of a building. It appeared that the custom or manner of conducting the work was that the oldest man working on the derrick was understood to there is a right of action for a man- by the foreman of the stone branch