

all the facts and evidence that led up to that decision. The subject is too important to be lightly passed over and its settlement by the highest court is necessary to the best interests of all concerned. If Dr. Harris or any one else has any light to throw on the question, let the people have it, for they will finally come to a saner conclusion than all the committees that can sit on it. It is their question and they must and will settle it themselves. In a civil court of law all the evidence, unless too immoral for publication, is put in the possession of the people. Much more should this be true in religious things.

Now it seems to us that it is undoubtedly your duty as our editor, to contribute to this end by fair judicial treatment. We look to you to be absolutely fair to both sides, and to see *that no one party has a sole right to the columns of our paper*. We look for this regardless of your personal views on the subject under discussion. The present problem is vastly too big to be settled off-hand by anyone, not even after deliberation by the Senate of the University. The people will settle it.

There are two things we must say regarding your remarks under the head of "The Editor's Judgment" in the issue of Dec. 23rd, 1909.

First, dear brother, what you lightly and rather contemptuously style as "theological vagaries" are dearly loved and strongly held by the overwhelming majority of our people. Let them judge !