cessive fees, and also for having charged and received monies under false pretence."

Nov. 18th, "In the matter of certain charges preferred by the grand jury at the last Assizes for the district of Newcastle and by the Solicitor-General laid before the Court of King's Bench against Marcus F. Whitehead and Thomas Ward Esq., the former as clerk and the latter as judge of the district court in the said district of Newcastle—In re Whitehead. The Attorney-General moves for a rule to shew cause why an attachment should not issue against the said Thomas Ward and Marcus F. Whitehead respecting the former for having taxed to the latter as attorney and the latter for having charged and received illegal costs in certain cases in the said district court in which John Wilder, Christopher Lightle, Festus Burr, Richard Wright, Ephraim Farren, Joseph Cuthbert Townsend, were parties, J. B. Robinson, Esquire, Attorney-General, granted."

Hilary Term, 7 George IV., Jan. 13th, 1827, same judges present, "Attachment ordered against both defendants" on motion of the Attorney-General. April 30th, "Defendants' answer put in and filed in this cause."

Trinity Term, 8 George IV., June 18th (Præs. Campbell. C.J., and Sherwood, J.), "Judgment of the court that M. F. Whitehead do pay a fine of fifty pounds and remain in custody till paid and that Thomas Ward, Esquire, judge of the district court of the district of Newcastle do pay a fine of five pounds": See The King v. Whitehead and Ward, Taylor 476.

Hilary Term, 7 George IV., Jan. 13th, 1827, "In the matter of complaint of Francis Beattie against M. F. Whitehead, one of etc., motion for a rule to shew cause why an attachment should not issue against M. F. Whitehead, one of the attornies of this court for exacting unauthorized and exorbitant fees of one Francis Beattie on account of costs alleged to be due him in a cause of the said Francis Beattie against one Kenneth Meriam in the district court of of the district of Newcastle in which