

strict regulations in regard to the shipping of them.. Take the refuse screenings, which are the cleanings after the grain is cleaned, and you cannot market that in Canada, but we find a very good market for it in the United States. They are glad to get that stuff.

*By Mr. Ross (Moose Jaw):*

Q. Would you have to make provision for allowing the shipments of grain with so much dockage in it?—A. I do not think it matters much what you call it as long as you have a provision that it can be shipped with a certain amount of dockage.

*By Mr. Coote:*

Q. If one man raises barley which cannot be graded anything but feed, but which has practically no weed seeds, he has more feed value than barley with 3 per cent weed seeds in it. It would be much better to have that barley sold as feed barley than to have it go as 3 per cent; that is, if we decide to allow the certificate to cover barley with 3 per cent seed in it?—A. The barley would not go out with 3 per cent in it; the elevators would have to clean it down.

Q. Why?—A. Because they would have to ship out clean barley.

Q. Not under your definition. They could ship a car of feed barley to Ontario containing 3 per cent of seed, and I am not sure that that would not be a very good practice.—A. That is right, yes.

*By Mr. Fansher (Last Mountain):*

Q. What is considered as weed seed when speaking of barley? Wheat, rye, oats and so forth?—A. No, that would be classed as "other grains". It would be wild oats, and big weed, which are specifically mentioned. I might just say in connection with these grades that we had this matter up with the inspection department, and I notice here they make a note with regard to seed barley. It says "The percentage of dockage to be set to be left off until further inquiries are made as to the amount which can be properly cleaned with the facilities." That is the inspectors' suggestion. I believe with the present facilities it is quite impossible to clean this barley.

*By Mr. Garland (Bow River):*

Q. Then why not allow for a 3 per cent dockage in your description?

The WITNESS: What do you think of that, Mr. Newman?

Mr. NEWMAN: I would say that this matter was discussed at considerable length with the inspection people. In answer to Mr. Coote's question, which is very well taken, I would say that the reason why in the suggested wording of No. 3, Canada Western grade, we included a specific percentage of wild oats and so on, was that it was thought desirable to make that actually a little higher grade than the present 3 C.W., because the present 3 C.W., while not stated in the Act, in actual practice may contain a larger percentage of wild oats and other seeds than is specified in this revised wording. That question was discussed, and we fought over that for a long while, as to whether or not it would be wise to state definitely the percentage, or whether to leave that percentage out entirely, as Mr. Garland (Bow River) suggests, and simply put in the words "reasonably clean", reasonably free from other domestic grains. The inspection department is not desirous of having the percentage specified, as has been explained before.

[Mr. Follitt.]