by the RCMP. This was used to intimidate him to the point where he had to give up all of his activities and go underground.

I know Canadian citizens of Japanese descent who were condemned as spies because of their racial characteristics. They are still living in my riding of Vancouver East. Activists have been particularly suspect. I think particularly of a woman named Kay MacPherson from Toronto who has been a leader in the peace movement in the New Democratic Party and is a very active person on the National Action Committee for the Status of Women. Is she going to be suspect here in Canada, as she was when she tried to cross the United States border to go to a peace rally in Washington? Is this the kind of McCarthyism we are going to have in Canada? Labour groups certainly will be suspect, especially if they protest against any right wing foreign governments. Is a wildcat striker who refuses to handle South African imports really a threat to Canada? Will he be suspect?

• (1520)

In my remaining time, Mr. Speaker, I want to refer to the specific example of a member of the Prime Minister's Office who was once a target of the Canadian secret service. I refer to a column in the Ottawa Citizen of February 17 which refers to David Crenna, a former employee of CMHC who joined the PMO after the 1980 election. He was one of 21 government employees appearing on the notorious EPO list circulated to Cabinet Ministers in June, 1971. Apparently EPO stands for Extra-Parliamentary Opposition. The PMO press office confirmed that Crenna, whose title is social policy adviser, was on the list. Of late, he has figured prominently in press stories as the covert agent sent to Washington to get the dirt on the Leader of the official Opposition. Apparently what he got from the SEC were some prospectuses and salaries, and we know about that. The article says:

Back in 1971, the shoe was on the other foot. This graduate of the London School of Economics was identified by secret service analysts as one of several sources of subversion burrowing into the Canadian bureaucracy.

Here are a few extracts from Mr. Goyer's letter of June 15, 1971, the Minister who was investigating him:

I have recently received a report containing information which the Security Service has accumulated about the concept of "Extra-Parliamentary Opposition"... as interpreted by advocates of the New Left in Canada.

Those vicious New Lefters, Mr. Speaker. It goes on:

The report also draws attention to the activities of various persons and groups, some of them employees of the Federal Government, who support the EPO concept and who appear to have as their aim the destruction of the existing political and social structure in Canada.

The EPO concept, in the context of the New Left, does not mean legitimate pressure group activity but rather the creation of counter or parallel institutions within society—

Imagine, Mr. Speaker, a counter-culture within Canada being established by an activist now in the PMO. It continues:

Through such institutions the New Left seeks to organize and radicalize the "underclasses" of society and mould them into a revolutionary force capable of overthrowing the socio-political system . . .

Security Intelligence Service

He might even have been against the Liberals in those days, who knows. I use this example because I think it shows how easy it is for our society and people in power to abuse the freedom of individuals. Certainly this new force will add to that risk, not solve the problem.

Mr. Scott Fennell (Ontario): Mr. Speaker, I have one of those difficult ridings to remember. It is difficult for many people to understand where exactly it is. Do I represent the entire province or not? I always like to point out that I represent an area between Toronto and the place where the NDP members enter into Parliament. They are very proud of me in my riding because I am there to defend them against the socialists to my left.

I was shocked and dismayed this afternoon by the Deputy Whip, who I always felt was a gentleman. I do not believe he came up with this idea on his own. I believe it was the Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council (Mr. Evans) who did, because that gentleman is evil, Mr. Speaker.

Some Hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Fennell: He is evil. He is the kind of person who destroys the image of the House of Commons. The people in the gallery have been here today to see what that Party is trying to shove down the throats of Canadians. I do not believe the Solicitor General (Mr. Kaplan) was involved as much as the Parliamentary Secretary. However, I am delighted to be speaking on this Bill because there is very little in it that I can commend. I sincerely believe that the Solicitor General does not understand the Bill.

Mr. Kaplan: Get serious.

Mr. Evans: A point of order, Mr. Speaker. I know the people watching on television can understand the Hon. Member was calling me evil in a jocular way, but it certainly will not show up that way in *Hansard*. I wonder if he would mind clarifying that, because I know he was doing it in a joking fashion.

Mr. Dick: It is a legitimate point of debate.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Herbert): I would have to check the "blues" to see if there is really a point of order, but perhaps the Hon. Member can consider what he has said.

Mr. Fennell: I do not have Roget's Thesaurus in front of me, but if I did I would call him mischievous.

Mr. Evans: I will agree with that.

Mr. Fennell: I will withdraw the word "evil". Yet I think he understands the point I was making.

As I was saying, before I was so rudely interrupted by the Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, I do not believe the Solicitor General really understands this Bill. In a speech, the Attorney General of Ontario quoted the Minister of Justice of France who said that freedom cannot