

Young Offenders Act

of a task force as proposed by the hon. member for Calgary North. I believe that the Standing Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs is quite competent and capable to deal with the problems raised in this bill.

Mr. Gilbert: Nonsense.

Mr. Murphy: You do not have the faith in that committee that I do.

Mr. Gilbert: I am a member and I do not have faith.

Mr. Murphy: I feel that the members of that committee can call and interrogate witnesses as intelligently as any task force which might be appointed. The final insult is at page 2378 where the hon. member is recorded as saying:

Let me point out that there is not much difference between a training school and a penitentiary.

I do not know whether the hon. member has ever visited training schools. According to his speech, he has visited penitentiaries. I point out to him that nothing is further from the truth. He winds up by saying:

In fact, I think the old law was just as good. Perhaps in some ways it was better.

The hon. member refused to recognize some of the major differences. Under the old law it was not possible for the juvenile court judge to give an absolute discharge to a person appearing before him. This will now be possible under clause 30 of the bill. Under the old law, there was no maximum term of commitment to a training school. A child aged 12 could be committed until he was 21, at the pleasure of the school. Under the present law, the maximum is three years. That is a maximum, not a minimum. Under the old law there was no provision for disposal of a case without a hearing. There is such a provision under the new law. The juvenile court judge, under clause 23, has the power to dispose without a hearing.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order, please. I must interrupt the hon. member.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order. It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: The hon. member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Rose)—Airports—Provision of rapid transit ground facilities; the hon. member for Portneuf (Mr. Godin)—Oil—Possible review of National Energy Board policy; the hon. member for Saskatoon-Biggar (Mr. Gleave)—Wheat Board—Production and receipts policy—Statement in budget respecting stabilization payments.

It being five o'clock, the House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's Order Paper, namely, notices of motions (papers), private bills and public bills.

[Mr. Murphy.]

• (5:00 p.m.)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICES OF MOTIONS

HEALTH AND WELFARE

REQUEST FOR COPY OF A STUDY INTO THE CONDITIONS OF THE DISADVANTAGED IN QUEBEC

On the order: Notices of Motions (Papers); No. 115—Mrs. MacInnis—October 28, 1970:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the study undertaken by Le Centre de Planification Familiale, Montreal, in the fiscal year 1970-71, funded by the Department of National Health and Welfare on "Projet de recherche auprès du milieu défavorisé urbain Québécois".

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, I might say there have been discussions with the hon. member who is the sponsor not only of motion No. 115 but the several notices of motions that follow it. It will be observed that these several notices of motions are directed to the Department of National Health and Welfare and pertain to certain studies they have conducted.

These motions can be grouped under headings dealing with birth control, family planning and genetic counselling. Because of the fact that they are grouped in this way, and also because it is obvious that, in view of the position these notices of motion enjoy on the Order Paper, many other members on the opposite side of the House would be deprived of the opportunity of bringing forward the subject matter of their particular notices of motions, the hon. member has very kindly agreed to drop from the Order Paper notices of motions Nos. 115 through 120, and today she will proceed with notice of motion No. 121. Then, subsequently one of the remaining group dealing with genetic counselling will be selected by her and proceeded with. Thereafter, the remainder of these will be dropped as well.

I think the hon. member will confirm that, and for these reasons we are prepared to proceed in that manner.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Does the House give unanimous consent to the dropping of notices of motions 115 to 120 inclusive appearing on the order paper in the name of the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis) and to the proceeding to notice of motion No. 121?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motions dropped and orders discharged.

* * *

HEALTH AND WELFARE

REQUEST FOR COPY OF A STUDY INTO THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL CONSEQUENCES OF THE THERMIC METHOD OF BIRTH CONTROL

Mrs. Grace MacInnis (Vancouver-Kingsway) moved:

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the study "Causes et conséquences démographiques économiques et sociales de la pratique de la méthode thermique de régulation des naissances" funded by the Department of National Health and Welfare in the fiscal year 1969-70.