

## Questions

plans at the moment for the opening of two new immigration offices at Lyon and Bordeaux, contingent upon satisfactory arrangements being worked out with the appropriate authorities; (a) \$182,079 for fiscal year 1962-63; (b) 27; (c) \$100,512 per annum.

2. (a) The government maintains four offices in England and these are located in Bristol, Leeds, Liverpool and London; (b) \$480,731 for fiscal year 1962-63; (c) 77; (d) \$286,274 per annum.

[Text]

RECOMMENDATIONS OF COMMISSION  
ON PATENTS, ETC.

Question No. 1,645—**Mr. Brewin:**

1. Have any of the recommendations of the report on industrial designs of the royal commission on patents, copyright, trademarks and industrial designs, dated the 1st day of June, 1958, been carried out and, if so, which recommendations?

2. Does the government intend to implement any of the recommendations of the said report which have not yet been implemented?

3. Does the government propose to bring in legislation amending or replacing the Industrial Design and Union Label Act, c.150, R.S.C. 1952, which is substantially the same as that passed in 1861?

**Mr. Pickersgill:** 1. No.

2 and 3. This is a question of government policy which it is not usual to announce in response to questions on the order paper.

\*CHANGES IN SECURITY PROCEDURES

Question No. 1,656—**Mr. Knowles:**

1. Do the changes in procedures with respect to security, announced by the Prime Minister on October 25, 1963, apply to Canadians generally as well as to government employees and service personnel and, if so, in what way do the new procedures apply to Canadians generally?

2. Have any corresponding changes been made with respect to security investigations on university campuses and, if so (a) what are the details of such changes (b) what courses are open to students or professors who are investigated (c) have university organizations (student or teacher) been advised of any new procedures applicable to university campuses?

**Mr. Davis:** Mr. Speaker, it will take several minutes to read this answer into the record. I would therefore ask permission of the house to table the answer and have it printed in *Hansard* today.

**Mr. Speaker:** Does the house give permission?

**Some hon. Members:** Agreed.

[Editor's note: The answer referred to above is as follows:]

1. and 2(a). The procedures announced on October 25 were designed to apply to employees of the Canadian government. They may also be intended, with modification if necessary, to apply to persons involved in

federal government contracts which have a security aspect. They are designed solely for a situation in which a person, by reason of employment or contract, may have access to classified information. They cannot therefore, have application to Canadians generally, whether within a university or not, since the problem of access to classified information does not arise.

2. (b) Students or professors are not investigated. If they are applicants for employment in the public service in positions in which access to classified information is involved, security screening is the same as for any other applicant. A student or a professor could conceivably become involved in an investigation into a case of possible subversion or espionage. The ordinary processes and provisions of the law would apply if prosecution developed in any such case.

2. (c) The Prime Minister, the Minister of Justice and the commissioner of the R.C.M.P., have met representatives of the C.A.U.T. and the N.F.C.U.S. in recent months to discuss the problem of ensuring that the responsibilities of the R.C.M.P. with regard to security do not conflict with freedom of thought and discussion on university campuses. Both the government and the R.C.M.P. as the responsible agency are fully alive to the importance of ensuring that no such conflict arises and renewed care is being taken to provide against it. Both the C.A.U.T. and N.F.C.U.S. have been advised that there is not now and will not be any general surveillance of university campuses.

POST OFFICE DEPARTMENT EMPLOYEES, COMPTON-FRONTENAC CONSTITUENCY

Question No. 1,677—**Mr. Latulippe:**

1. What is the name and salary of each employee of the Post Office Department in each of the towns and villages located within the constituency of Compton-Frontenac?

2. How many employees of the Post Office Department in Compton-Frontenac have been dismissed during the past two years and what was the reason in each case?

3. How many promotions, transfers and salary increases were granted to employees of the Post Office Department in Compton-Frontenac during the past two years?

4. How many persons are under contract for rural mail delivery in Compton-Frontenac and what is the name, salary and length of contract of each?

5. During the past two years, what changes were made with regard to the people delivering the mail?

6. What expenditures did the Post Office Department incur in Compton-Frontenac during each of the past five years?

7. How many requests for route changes in the constituency has the department received during the past three years and (a) what is the location of each (b) what were the reasons given (c) how many requests were granted (d) how many were refused (e) by how much did the expenses increase in each case?