

Inquiries of the Ministry

Mr. H. W. Herridge (Kootenay West): I am sure the minister's remarks warm the cockles of a good many Canadian hearts, but in view of the great concern with this question on the part of Canadians generally, and their desire to be as well informed as possible, will the minister consider obtaining permission of the house to have the documents mentioned printed as an appendix to today's *Hansard*?

Mr. Green: I am in the hands of the house with regard to that suggestion.

Mr. Speaker: There has been a request and the minister has indicated that he seeks the unanimous approval of the house that the two speeches referred to be printed as an appendix to *Hansard*. Is it agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[*Editor's note: For text of documents above referred to, see appendix.*]

(*Translation*):

LABOUR CONDITIONS**WINTER WORKS IN UNORGANIZED MUNICIPALITIES**

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Gerard Laprise (Chapleau): Mr. Speaker, I should like to ask the Minister of Labour a question. Is he aware of the fact that for financial reasons, one or more county councils refuse to take part in the winter works program this year? If so, does he intend to assist in some other way the localities not organized in municipalities?

Mr. Speaker: Order. I think the hon. member will recognize that his question is out of order.

CANADIAN BROADCASTING CORPORATION**INQUIRY AS TO REPLACEMENT OF QUEBEC GOVERNORS**

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Marcel Lessard (Lake St. John): Mr. Speaker, I should like to direct a question to the Minister of National Revenue. I am sorry but I did not advise him beforehand. Would the minister tell us if he intends to replace the two C.B.C. governors from Quebec who resigned during the summer, or if he has done so already?

(*Text*):

Hon. H. J. Flemming (Minister of National Revenue): Mr. Speaker, I think this question would more properly be directed to the Secretary of State.

Hon. G. E. Halpenny (Secretary of State): Mr. Speaker, I thought the hon. member was addressing it to my colleague. Anyway I did not hear it and I wonder would he repeat it or place it on the order paper.

(*Translation*):

Mr. Lessard (Lake St. John): Mr. Speaker, this question was addressed to the Minister of National Revenue or to the Secretary of State—

Mr. Speaker: Yes, but I do not think your question is in order. I cannot see why it should be asked at this particular time since it is of no urgency.

Mr. Lessard (Lake St. John): Mr. Speaker, I think it is urgent, for us in Quebec, that those two C.B.C. governors be appointed in view of the requests that are made at the present time for new radio and television stations.

Mr. Speaker: It may be that the question is important—or that the problem is important—but not enough to warrant a reply now.

(*Text*):

FISHERIES**BRITISH COLUMBIA—IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS RESPECTING LICENCES**

On the orders of the day:

Mr. Barry Mather (New Westminster): I wish to direct a question to the Minister of Fisheries. By way of explanation, on September 28 I asked the minister if it was the government's intention to introduce legislation at this session based on the recommendations of the Sinclair report. This was under orders of the day, in respect to licence limitation in the fishing industry. The minister replied that day that no decision had been made.

My question today is, has a decision been arrived at in the last three weeks, or is it still in a state of indecision?

Mr. Speaker: I think the hon. member, with the last few words, rather ruled himself out. I cannot conceive at the moment that there is great urgency in obtaining the information on orders of the day.

Mr. Mather: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, the point is, how can a matter which was considered urgent three weeks ago and on which, as far as I know, no action has been taken, be considered less urgent today?

Mr. Speaker: I am not going to argue the point with the hon. member. It may be that the minister answered the question out of courtesy.