

No. 641—*Mr. Fortin*

1. How many paper plants are there in Canada and where are they located?

2. Since 1968, has the government paid any subsidies for (a) the expansion (b) the operation of paper mills in Canada?

3. How many plants have closed down (a) completely (b) partially?

4. How many workers have been laid off by paper mills in Canada since 1968 because (a) the plant was closed (b) production had diminished?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/641.

No. 995—*Mr. Diefenbaker*

1. (a) What volume of government film contracts was subcontracted to private film companies by the National Film Board for each of the past five years (b) what percentage of total NFB government contracts did this represent each year?

2. (a) Which were the ten largest private film companies who received these NFB subcontracts (b) what was the total amount of contracts they received in each year, and what percentage was this of the total?

3. Which of these subcontractors was not Canadian-owned?

4. (a) How many film projects does NFB currently have subcontracted to non-Canadian companies (b) what is the value of each of these contracts (c) on which of these contracts were there competitive bids from Canadian-owned companies?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/995.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of all correspondence, telegrams or other documents exchanged between the government or any agency, branch or department thereof and any person or corporation involved in the processing of fish in British Columbia since January 1, 1969 relating to the potential purchase of any of the fish processing plants or fish canneries owned or operated by the said persons or corporations since January 1, 1969.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 36—Mr. Howard*).

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of all studies, analyses and reports made by, or under contract with, any agency, branch or department of government since January 1, 1969 relating to the potential development of a fish processing plant along the northern coast of British Columbia whether or not those studies, analyses or reports relate to the involvement of the Pacific North Coast Native Co-operative.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 37—Mr. Howard*).

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of all correspondence, telegrams or other documents exchanged between the government or any agency, branch or department thereof and any other person, group or organization since January 1, 1969 relating to the potential development of a fish processing plant along the northern coast of British Columbia involving the Pacific North Coast Native Co-operative.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 38—Mr. Howard*).

Resolved,—That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House all correspondence between the government and the Council of Metropolitan Toronto and the Council of the City of Toronto with respect to the erection of the CN-CP communications "Needle".—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 135—Mr. Stackhouse*).

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of the report of the Task Force on Northern Pipelines.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 188—Mr. Rowland*).

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of the report entitled *Economic Prospects for the Goose Bay Area* prepared for the government by the Bureau of Management Consulting.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 202—Mr. Rompkey*).

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Lalonde, seconded by Mr. Lang,—That Bill C-147, An Act to amend the Old Age Security Act, be now read a third time and do pass.

And debate continuing;

Mr. La Salle, seconded by Mr. Matte, moved in amendment thereto,—That Bill C-147, be not now read a third time but that it be referred to the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs in order that the Minister responsible may appear again before the said Committee for the purpose of amending the bill in such a way that it may better meet the needs of the people of Canada.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said amendment, it was negatived on the following division:

(*Division No. 20*)

YEAS

Messrs.

Allard	Fortin	Laprise
Beaudoin	Gauthier	La Salle
Boisvert	(Roberval)	Latulippe
Caouette	Godin	Matte
(Charlevoix)	Lambert	Rondeau
Dionne	(Bellechasse)	Tétrault—15.