

[*Note*—Among several reasons suggested for this change, it was urged by members of Committee from a distance, that it is manifestly unfair that so large a number of votes in proportion can be given by those in the neighborhood of the place where the annual meeting is held; who can attend without expense or inconvenience, and that something should be done to equalize the voting power of the Societies near and far, represented at the annual meeting of the Board.]

*Notice No. 1, Clause B, regarding items in the Annual Report.* The Committee believe that it is of sufficient importance to have items presented from individual Societies to warrant the additional cost and labour referred to.

*Notice No. 3, regarding the object of our work.* In the opinion of your Committee the proposed change is so radical that it would alter our relation to the Foreign Mission Committee, therefore your Committee do not recommend it, but they think it desirable that the Presbyterial Societies generally should express their mind about it.

*Notice No. 5, regarding the appointment of the Board.* Your Committee approve of this amendment, which is chiefly verbal, and intended to make the meaning more distinct. The last clause was withdrawn by the mover on account of the reduction of the Board proposed in Notice No. 1.

*Notice No. 6, regarding representation at Annual Meeting.* Your Committee recommend a modification of this amendment in the following terms: Each Presbyterial Society shall be entitled to send one delegate besides its President. Each Auxiliary shall be entitled to one delegate besides its President. Each Mission Band shall be represented by its President only. These delegates must be members of the General Society (thus entitled to vote), and shall be provided with entertainment during the annual meeting. All other members of the Society shall be welcome to attend the annual meeting and to vote, but the hospitality committee shall not be responsible for their entertainment.

*Notice No. 7* This notice had been given on the understanding that the Board were to try the experiment of adjourned weekly meetings, but as the new Board appointed did not adopt the recommendation of the previous one, and weekly meetings have not yet been held, your Committee did not consider it necessary to discuss the motion.