Verse

declares he will not attempt to do just now is the very first thing required of him to do if the statements and comparisons made by me be germane to the question at issue.

As to this point I am willing that the readers of the Mail should be the judges. Mr. Macdonald asserts that some of my statements are "quite contrary to facts," but fails to point one of them out. To show the inadequacy of the rates of the I. O. F., Mr. Macdonald gives us a table, premising the same with the following observations :--

" It is quite immaterial for the object in view what age it selected, but I will take age forty-nine-the oldest age as which a person can enter on the foregoing corditions the Special Endowment Fund of the Order, as the expectation of life at that age is shortest, and it will be more convenien: for the purpose of the following table."

The statements in the above quoted paragraph are "quite contrary to facts." The oldest age at which a person can enter the Endowment Fund of the Order is 54, and the expectation of life is shorter at that age than it is at 49.

The table No. 1, which is given below, will explain why Mr. Macdonald did not select age 54 instead of age 49.

TABLE No. 1.

AGR.	No. dying pr 1000 acdg to Am. ex. Tah. of Mortality	No. Dying at ech age out of 1,000 Ent. at Age §4.	No. Living at each ago out of 1,000 Entrants.	Astersints Recd. (386) with 6 mos. Interest at 5 per cent.	Claims Pd. with 6 mos. Interest at 6 per cent.	State of Endow- went Fund.					
54 55 57 58 59 60 61 63 64 55	17,40	17.40	1000.00	36.900	17.835	19 065					
	18.57	19.24	982.60	36.258	18.096	87.5:0					
	19.88	19.17	964.36	35.585	19.649	55.395					
	91.33	2016	945.19	34.878	20.664	72.379					
	22.94	21 22	925.03	34.134	21.751	88.381					
	24.72	22.34	903.81	33.350	22.899	103.251					
	26.69	23.53	831.47	32.526	24.118	116.822					
	28.88	24.78	867.94	31.658	25.400	128 921					
	31.29	26.07	833.16	80.744	26.722	139.339					
	35.94	27.89	\$07.09	20.783	25.075	148.066					
	36.87	23.75	779.70	23.771	29.469	154.771					
	40.13	30.14	750.95	23.771	80.894	159.325					
66	43.71	31.51	720.81	26.698	32 298	161.691					
67	47.65	32,84	659.30	25.435	33 661	161.444					
68	52.00	34.13	656.46	24 223	34.983	158.756					
69	56.76	35.82	622.33	22.964	36.203	153.455					
70	61.99	36.39	587.01	21 661	37.290	145.499					

Thus when a 1,000 Forestors entering at age 54 have reached their 70th birthday, without any allowance being made for the reduction in the actual cost of insurance, below that given by the mortality tables due to medical selection, which some eminent authorities say, as already stated, is as high as 50 per cent during the first five years after admission, there will be left a surplus of \$145,499 remaining from their contributions after paying the full amount required by Mr. Macdonald's tables.

Let me now briefly recall one of the statements made by me in my former letter :---

I said that the founders of the I.O.F. "came to the conclusion that the figures of actuaries were modified by certain conditions which are invaribly shown in the table collected from its members present in every well regulated company, and that \$1,086,056.

by reason of those modifications insurance benefits could be given by a society like the I.O.F. for a much less sum than is charged by the Old Line Companies." I then explained in detail some of these conditions. Mr. Macdonald discretly refuses to join issue with me in so far as the above statement is concerned. On the contrary, he is forced to admit that there is, something in it when he states as follows:

"No doubt some benefit may accrue from this source, [viz., the infusion of new blood], but it will be of a temporary nature merely, and will completely disappear in five, or at the outside seven or eight years. For the sake of argument however, we will assume that this much talked-of infusion of new blood or medical selection, will have the effect of reducing the total number of deaths which will occur during the twenty-two years amongst the above 1,000 members."

When Mr. Macdonald makes this admission, I submit he virtually concedes the case of the I.O.F. The terms in which he makes the admission is somewhat confused, it is true; for everybody knows that no amount of infusion of new blood could possibly affect the number of deaths occurring among the above 1,000 members, but we must take Mr. Macdonald at what he probably means, that the medical selection of the 1,000 members makes the reduction in the number of deaths. The only question left is one of estimate as to the extent of the modifications made in the figures of actuaries, by "Medical Selections," by the "infusion of new blood" and by "lapses." That Mr. Macdonald's estimate of the extent of these modifications is wholly unreliable, can be proven from the actual experience of every Society and of every well-conducted insurance company. In table No. 2 we have given the actual experience of the I.O.F. between the 5th and 16th years of its existence :

TABLE No. 2.

Fur the Forestric Year Ending with 30th June.	Bhows the Age of the I.O.F at Date.	Death Rate per 1,000 as per American Exp. Tahle.	Death Rate per 1,000 in the Ind. Order Foresters.	Amts. Reed, in each year for the Insurance Depart- ment of I. O. F.	Amounte Paid by I. O. F. in Insurance Benefits.	Amounts Taken for Man- agement Exponses.	Amount of the Surpluss in I.O.F. at and of each year in the lusur. Fund.			
1857 1888 1889 1890	5th year 6th , " 7th " Sth "	9.79 10.01 10.25 10.52 10.83 11.16 11.56 12.00 19.51 18.11	7.69 7.10 3.30 7.30 4.80 5.80 6.40 3.90	Uader \$ 11.391 14.508 23.534 27.350 40.784 67.506 93.651 137.193	9.000 10.409 15.698 27.500 38.760 64.750	8 569 649 1.177 1.390 2.039	Court. 3.523 4.880 17.197 26.906 36465 66.974 94.444 152.368 201.815			
1891		14.54	3.01	183.355	97.221	9.169	403 798			

"6 months from 1st July to 31st Dec., 1891.

An examination of the above table shows several points of more or less importance.

1st. It shows that the I.O.F. during the time