manufacture in the production of which motive power is employed. The long delayed census returns have not yet furnished us with a statement of the annual value of our agricultural products. If our home production should be decreased by \$50,000,000 in any year, that might result in increasing our importations by twenty-tive, thirty or forty million dollars. To this extent the manufactures and products which are now furnished by the Canadian people would be brought in from foreign countries. Would not my hon, friend the Minister of Finance stand up in the House and point to the inereased revenue resulting from that condition of things and say: Look, the trade of Canada has lucre sed, not \$184,000,000, but \$225,000,000, and ur surplus is not \$13,-000,000, but \$25,00, 300. And yet, is there any hon, gentleman in this House who would say that such a statement would indicate a healthy condition of uffairs in this country?

My hon, friend has gone very fully into what I may call the husiness returns of this country, and I will endeavour, in my figures I give to the House, not to duplicate those which he has furnished. I have some documents to present in a tubulated form, and, with the permission of the Honse, I will follow the example of by hon, friend the Minister of Finance, and hand them to the reporters without rending them in full.

In the good old days, when my hon, friend Average nanual taxation during six the Minister of Trade and Commerce (Hou. SIr Richard Cartwright) led the attack on the fiscal policy of the government of the day, there were great laments over the hurden of taxation imposed upon the people of this eountry. My hon, friend the Minister of Trade and Commerce was very warm indeed on that point; he was more than warm, Mr. Speaker, he was ulmost tearful when he spoke of the sorrows of the farmers and the burden of taxation laid upon them by an extravagant and corrupt government. I will present to the Honse a comparison of the taxation during the past six years with that of the previous six years under Conservative administration; because 1 think it is right, when statements of large trade and abundant revenues are hid before the House, that we in the opposition should show the reverse side of the shield, the old days.

TOTAL RECEIPTS FROM TAXES.

1	
	189t\$28,314,35t 1897\$28,648,626
	1892 28,446,157 1598 29,576,456
-	1893 29,321,367 1899 34,958,069
	1894 27,579,203 1909 38,242,223
ı	1895 25,446,199 1901 38,743,550
ı	1896 27,759,285 1902 43,353,112
ĺ	
l	\$168,866,362 \$213,558,036
l	and the state of t
1	In 1891 taxation under Conservative
1	government was
1	In 1896 taxation under Conservative
t	government was 27,759,285
۱	The same transfer Consequently
1	Decrease under Conservative
l	government 2,554.866
ı	In 1902 taxation under Liberai govern-
l	ment was
1	In 1896 taxation under Conservative
ļ	government was
1	SON THINK IN WASTER
ı	Increased taxation under Lib-
ı	eral government\$15,629,827
-	
	Total taxes collected from 1897 to
1	1902 inclusive under Liberal gov-
	ernment \$213,558,036
Ì	Total taxes collected from 189t to
	1896 inclusive under Conservative
	government
	Increased favation in six
	hars of Liberal govern-
	nent \$44,6 (1,674
i	Average annual favation during Six

years of present Liberal government.....\$35,593,996 Average annual taxation during last six years of Conservative government.. 28,144,394 Average annual increase under Lib-

I might point out to my hon, friend the Minister of Trade and Commerce, as a culminution of this statement, that according to the estimate of the non. Minister of Finance we shall have imposed on the peopie of Canada during the present year no less than \$47,520,160 of taxation. My hon. friend the Minister of Trade and Commerce when he contemplates these figures, should really, for very decency's sake, pump up a few of the bring tears which he shed in