

Mr. R. J. Quigley, manager of the manufacturing department of the American Watch Case Company of Toronto, when on oath, said the company manufactured the snide or counterfeit watch-cases because there was a demand for such goods; but, he said, they *did not make counterfeit money because there was no demand for it!* The directors (did) pay him \$5,000 per year salary and \$3,000 in dividends, or \$8,000 in all. He is a member of Toronto's Board of Trade and of the manufacturers' combine. (And he takes the collection after the Rev. John N. Lake, president of the company, has made his prayer.)



R. J. QUIGLEY.

jesty" in consideration of \$5.00 for each conviction, failed to convict. Mr. McNaught was of course defended by the head or brains of the "funny set," assisted by the now city solicitor, Mr. Fullerton, who, with Mr. McNaught and that *Government and city-fed loyal cad, Col. Denison*, the magistrate who tried the case, all belong to the same "Tory Club" and are as thick as thieves.

For these and other reasons herein stated, we may well say there is nothing like "Canadian or British justice," as laid down by those two "living monuments of loyalty,"—Judge Rose and Col. Denison. To worship their idea of justice would be no sin, as there is nothing like it in heaven above, the earth beneath, or in the waters under the earth.

But to return to the suit. The "combined" forces of the funny set, with the celebrated Mr. Lount, Q.C., were now found arrayed against Mr. Doll, who was "so sure of the justice of his cause," that without legal assistance, he defended himself against that array of "legal lights," who received some \$300 per day from the company to prove that "Warranted 14k" did not mean warranted at all, and that "Perfection Coin Silver" was only intended to meant silver-plated, and that "Solid Gold" only meant one part gold and twenty-three parts of brass.

The plaintiffs had previously applied to JUDGE FALCONBRIDGE for a "special jury of manufacturers," who would be all "Tories" of course, to try the case, but His Lordship justly refused to listen to them, and said that if he were trying the case he would let the regular jury, then in court, act. *Judge Rose refused to let the regular jury, who were again in court, act on the case, but allowed the company to use a jury that had been struck by them for this case eight months previous.* Mr. Doll strongly objected to this jury, pointing out that the company had known these men for eight months. It would be in keeping, he said, with the company's directors, the \$200,000 capital, and their watch cases, for them to influence the jury. But Mr. Doll's protests fell on