

2.

declaration of pure Provincialism that we have ever permitted ourselves to make".

If the teaching of Quebec law to future Quebec practitioners is pure Provincialism, I am ~~not~~ afraid, for some time at least, we shall have to rest under your charge. We are not teaching the Common law, in so far as it ^{not} is/in force in Quebec. We are teaching the law that is in force in Quebec, and if some part of the law so in force in Quebec happens to be the Common law, or similar to the Common law of another Province, or another country, then the student is taught, or presumed to be taught, the Common law of that other Province, or country, but not because it is the Common law of the other Province or country, but because it is the law in force in the Province of Quebec. Let this be well understood, I am afraid it is an instance of selecting an isolated passage, and giving it a sense or meaning which was not intended to have or bear.

I hope we have at least one member"of the fulltime Staff a recognized Specialist trained in English law competent to give instruction in some of the subjects common to both systems, and especially in comparative law".