

3. It was complained that on p. 153 there was a good deal of loose use of the word "Honours". In the third paragraph on that page, e.g., there is a suggestion that Honours Courses are dependent on the number of hours spent upon a subject. So also in the fifth paragraph. In the sixth paragraph the term "cognate departments for honour courses" is used. It was complained that in the past this term had been used for almost any couple of subjects (on this subject v, supra A. III.3.).

II. Pass Courses.

1. There was a prolonged discussion of the regulations stated on p. 150 of the Calendar, and especially with regard to the fourfold division of continuation subjects. The question was definitely raised whether Sociology could be regarded as on the same level as the more basic subjects in Division II. After much discussion it was unanimously agreed that it could not, and that at the very least it ought to be deferred until the third year. There was a unanimous recommendation also that the School for Social Workers be abolished.

2. (The Course of B.Sc. in Agriculture pp. 159-160). The opinion was emphatically expressed and generally endorsed that this course should not be announced under the Faculty of Arts and Science.

3. It was generally agreed that the statement on p. 163 "the Faculty assumes no responsibility for arranging or directing courses for students intending at the end of the first, second or third years to enter one of the other Faculties" was perhaps unfortunate; but that the statement immediately following, directing these students to consult with the Professional Faculties before deciding fully on their work in Arts was very important: further, that the Professional Faculties be encouraged to state precisely (in the Arts and Science Announcement) what Arts courses they preferred their students to follow.

Signed: F. Clarke
Carleton Stanley