

The question being put on the ruling, the House divided; and the names being called, they were taken down as follow:—

CONTENTS:

The Honourable Messieurs

Béique,	Cox,	Kerr,	Perley,
Beith,	David,	King,	Power,
Bowell	Derbyshire,	McHugh,	Riley,
(Sir Mackenzie),	Domville,	McKay (Truro),	Ross (Middlesex),
Campbell,	Ellis,	McMullen,	Sullivan,
Cartwright	Frost,	McSweeney,	Watson,
(Sir Richard),	Gillmor,	Mitchell,	Young.—28.
Costigan,	Jaffray,		

NON-CONTENTS:

The Honourable Messieurs

Baker,	Ferguson,	Longheed,	Montplaisir.—6.
Boucherville,	Landry,		

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The Committee resumed.

It was moved that the Committee rise.

The question being put thereon, the Committee divided:—

YEAS, 17; NAYS, 20.

So it was resolved in the negative.

It was then moved that the Committee rise and report progress and asked leave to sit again.

The question being put thereon, the Committee divided:—

YEAS, 21; NAYS, 10

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

After some time the House was resumed, and

The Honourable Mr. David, from the said Committee, reported that they had gone through the said Bill, had made some progress therein, and asked leave to sit again.

Ordered, That the said Committee have leave to sit again at the next sitting of the Senate.

Then, On motion of the Honourable Mr. Scott, seconded by the Right Honourable Sir Richard Cartwright,

The Senate adjourned until eight o'clock in the evening.