

Hon. Member for Parkdale-High Park had a question on a point of order.

Madam Speaker: I think I will have to seek clarification from the Hon. Member. Is he asking for unanimous consent to waive the notice on a motion which is already on the Order Paper? It is not.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: I will deal with that now.

Madam Speaker: Of course, if Hon. Members are reading texts that are too long, the Speaker at some point may say that it might be sufficient to seek unanimous consent for waiving the notice and that the Hon. Member shall just give the House the gist of the proposal. I trust that the Hon. Member will read as fast as he has been reading since he began his intervention.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Madam Speaker, I can assure you that if there was ever an important issue that came before the House in my political career, this is the most important one. I do not take it frivolously and I am—

Madam Speaker: There is no debate. The Hon. Member will just read the motion.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Madam Speaker, I meant what I said. The fact of the matter is that the Hon. Member for Parkdale-High Park asked whether this motion varied from the motion that is on the Order Paper. Of course, if he had been following closely, he would know that it is not the same. It changed "the company" to "railway companies". Clause 4 that I have added is a new proposition with respect to the matter of the appropriate toll that will be the Crow rate as opposed to the new rate proposed by the Government. He will understand that Clause 10, which I am about to read, is quite different. The provisions of this proposal which are designed to protect the producers of the country are much stronger than the Government's proposals, and that is what I want to include in the Bill.

Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Hnatyshyn: I shall just carry on and read the balance of this motion, if I may, Madam Speaker. It goes on to read:

(c) Any railway company or person directly affected by an interim *ex parte* order made pursuant to paragraph 9(b) may at any time within ten days after becoming aware of such order, apply to the Commission to vary, amend or rescind such order and the Commission shall thereupon, on such notice to other parties interested as it may in its discretion think desirable, hear such application, and either amend, alter or rescind such order, or dismiss the application, as may seem to it just and right.

(d) Any interim order made pursuant to paragraph 9(b) shall apply for a period not to exceed one hundred and eighty days but any such order may, within the said period, be converted by the Commission to a permanent order.

(e) If railway companies affected by any order requiring them to provide reciprocal and other arrangements are unable to agree as to compensation each should receive or pay, the Commission may, by order, fix the amount of such compensation but in no instance shall such compensation exceed the variable costs associated with the provision of such reciprocal and other arrangements.

Mr. Flis: Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I have been following the Hon. Member and he read subclause (c),

Business of the House

(d) and (e) which are identical to the words of Motion No. 58 on pages LXXVIII and LXXIX of the Order Paper. I have just followed the wording of three subclauses and the Hon. Member used identical words, Madam Speaker.

I would like a ruling on this, Madam Speaker. The Hon. Member said that he had new amendments. If those amendments are new, we are prepared to hear them out and either give them unanimous consent or not. However, if they are a repeat of motions on the Order Paper, simply to change one or two words does not change the intent of the motions.

Mr. Taylor: You're wasting time.

Madam Speaker: To begin with, it is very difficult for the Chair to determine whether or not it is exactly the same text. The Hon. Member said that it was not exactly the same text. He did say that there were a few words changed, but I do believe that the change of even a few words within the clauses of a Bill can be quite important. According to the Hon. Member's own admission, it is not exactly the same text. Therefore I would ask the Hon. Member for Saskatoon West to continue.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Madam Speaker, thank you very much. The fact is, of course—

Madam Speaker: Will the Hon. Member please read the motion.

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Would you like me to begin again, Madam Speaker? No, I will not.

Mr. Taylor: Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. All of that fuss made me lose track of the gist of what the Hon. Member was saying. May he go back and start from the beginning so that I will know what he is talking about?

Some Hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Madam Speaker: I am afraid I cannot allow that.

Mr. McKnight: Madam Speaker, I rise on a point of order. Just to satisfy your mind, Madam Speaker, and the mind of the Hon. Member for Parkdale-High Park, the motion that he is referring to on the Order Paper stands in my name. I can assure the Hon. Member that the motion that the Hon. Member for Saskatoon West is seeking consent to move is different from the motion that stands in my name. It has a different meaning, Madam Speaker, from that of the motion that stands in my name on the Order Paper.

Madam Speaker: That clears up the matter. It is not the same text. The Hon. Member will please continue to read.

● (1620)

Mr. Hnatyshyn: Thank you, Madam Speaker. The motion continues as follows:

(10)(a) For the purposes of this section, the Administrator may, on behalf of any grain shipper or group of grain shippers, commence any proceedings