

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Marcel Lambeau (Edmonton West):

Mr. Speaker, in the regrettably absence of the Minister of Finance I should like to direct my question to the Prime Minister. In light of the action of the United States federal reserve board reducing the reserve requirements from

POSSIBLE REDUCTION IN RESERVE REQUIREMENT  
MENTS UNDER BANK ACT

Mr. Turner: Mr. Speaker, I should like to clarify this situation. Following the recent DC-6 incident in the United States and pending further investigation, the Department of Transportation has issued, as a precautionary measure, an airworthiness directive restricting flights of DC-6 and DC-7 aircraft registered in Canada to unpressurized operation. The department will be reviewing this situation; the answer to that part of the question just put to me.

Mr. Olson: Mr. Speaker, may I say to you with respect that I did not expect to place it on the order paper. I think it is urgent because, as I said, a number of aircraft are being used, and it is a restriction which I should like to ask the certain regulation I should like to place in a certain regulation I should like to ask the minister whether the altitude at which the aircraft can fly and other regulations have also been altered so that people can travel in these aircraft without serious discomfort as a result of the restricting order.

Mr. Speaker: Order, please. I may say that I do not consider the question out of order, but the hon. member himself indicated that he had already received at least a partial reply from the minister, so I took it that he would want to place his question on the order paper, or that he expects to receive the balance of the reply from the minister in due course.

Posture that you considered the question out of order.

Hon. John N. Turner (Acting Minister of Transport): Mr. Speaker, I took it from your

Mr. O'Brien: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. The Minister without Portfolio was about to answer my question, or at least that was my assumption.

Mr. SPEAKER: Order, please.

Digitized by srujanika@gmail.com

Mr. H. A. Olson (Medicine Hat): Mr. Speaker, yesterday I addressed a question to the Minister without Portfolio regarding the resticting order in relation to altitude and pressurization in respect of DC-6 and DC-7's. As a result of the serious accident in the United States, The minister took the question as notice, but in view of the number of these aircraft being used in western Canada whether planes will be permitted to fly only at the altitudes directed by the Department of Transport, which may cause difficulties for passengers or have altitude problems, or have discomfort to passengers, or have difficulty only at the altitudes directed by the Department of Transport, which may cause difficulties for passengers or have altitude problems, or have discomfort to passengers.

INQUIRY AS TO RESTRICTIONS ON DC-6 AND  
DC-7 AIRCRAFT  
On the orders of the day:

AIR TRANSPORT

Mr. Speaker, our dairy policy will be announced before the beginning of the dairy year.

Does the hon. minister intend to grant the urgent request of the C.F.U., asking that the price of fluid milk be set at \$6 per hundred-weight.

Mr. Henri Latulippe (Compion-Frontenac): Mr. Speaker, I wish to address a question to

On the orders of the day:

AGRICULTURE  
[Translation]  
QUESTIONS-REQUESTS FOR INCREASE IN MILK  
SUPPLY  
Milk rewards: Will an increase in the production of milk  
with the second part of your ruling, but this  
question involves a matter which is of im-  
mediate importance affecting the human  
rights of a number of Canadian citizens who  
are unable to be moved from their home lands.  
I think the minister should be given an op-  
portunity to answer.

paper and the second is out of order.

**Mr. Speaker:** Order, please. The first part

recommendation that the Caughnawaga Indian development, and that the Iroquois people never be leased to a trust company for development, and that the Iroquois people be moved elsewhere? If such a recommendation has been made will the minister reject it as preposterous, monstrous and contrary to our concept of human rights?

## COMMONS DEBATES

March 1, 1967