

active service. It would require something very clear to convince him that our Parliament meant to pass so impotent a law as that suggested by counsel for the applicants, by which a man might avoid conscription on payment of \$10, or by which his only punishment for any kind of disobedience to orders or insubordination on active service was limited to this nominal fine.

---

CORRECTION.

In MASON & RISCH LIMITED v. CHRISTNER, ante 186, at p. 187, the counsel for the plaintiff company were J. G. Kerr and J. A. McNevin.