

forward might be a reason for making a change in the mode of conducting the examinations.

Mr. Yeomans rose to make some explanations in support of the change.

After some observations on the subject, it was moved by Mr. Yeomans, seconded by Mr. Roberts, and carried :

“That the Board of Examiners shall consist of three persons appointed by the Council. In the event of any vacancy occurring, the remaining members of the Board shall have the power of electing a suitable person to fill such vacancy. Each examiner shall examine in one or more subjects, and shall be responsible for the estimation of the value of the answers given on such subjects. The subjects for examination shall be *Chemistry*, on which ten questions shall be given, each question having the average value of 2.0; *Pharmacy*, ten questions of like value; *Prescriptions*, ten questions of like value; *Botany*, ten questions having a value of 1.5 each; *Practical Dispensing*, a practical and verbal examination, having a total value of 5.0, making in all the total number of marks 100.”

The report of the Board of Examiners was read as follows :

REPORT OF THE BOARD OF EXAMINERS.

The Seventh semi-annual examinations were held as usual at the rooms of the College, on Tuesday, August 4th. Twenty-two candidates presented themselves for examination. Four of these had attended previous examinations, but had not been successful in obtaining the requisite number of marks to entitle them to registration.

The following list embraces the names and ratings of the candidates who have been successful at the present examination :

		No. of Marks.
1.	Christopher Sheppard Toronto.....	90.1
2.	Richard Edwards..... Brantford	85.8
3.	L. E. Blackadar Brantford	76.5
4.	J. J. Ritchie Kincardine	75.2
5.	J. H. Smith Dunville	73.6
6.	Charles Williams..... Sarnia	70.1
7.	Henry J. Hartman Aurora	70.0
8.	Reuben Brown..... Morrisburg ...	69.1
9.	C. R. Matthews Port Hope.....	68.8
10.	J. G. Wilson St. Mary's.....	66.5
11.	M. H. Marett Chatham	64.9
12.	James Crookshank Barrie	64.4
13.	Charles Arnold..... Paris	61.1

The unsuccessful candidates are nine in number; and the lowest number of marks was 29.4.

It is with pleasure that we name as first and second prizemen, Mr. C. Sheppard, of Toronto and Mr. Richard Edwards, of