"reforml" but advanced American ones, generaly commendabl. Analogy seems to require voltometer for voltmeter (p.19).
Winat is this? a new teaching! 12 pages 16 mo . O. C. Blackmer, Oak Park, III. Free
In this is a call from Rev. Dr Chambers, misionary at Bardezag, Turkey (where is a mision scool of 200 buys), for a Pronouncing edition of Mark's gospel to teach nativs to read, rite and speak English. It is Blackmer's best work. Mark i, 1-29 is furnishtas specimen. Directions to teachers elucidate it. Thruout apear a, $\theta$, v .
Is the Soul a Substance? by C. W. Larison, M. D., formerly Prof. of Zoology. 196 pp 8 vo , cloth. Fonic Pub. Co , Ringos, N. J. $\lesssim 1 \cdot 25$.
Here is a book on heredity, atavism, origin of species, evolution, miscegenation, etc., in a New Speling with no les than ten differentials (as $\alpha, \Theta, \cup, \eta, \omega, e .$. ) and yet of remarkably easy legibility. We ar glad to note that the difthong in oil, boy, is now oi as authorized by NED. A stil more decided advance wil be ful use of o in Heratid fasion insted of partial use.

## INTRODUCE DIFFERENTIALS.

Rational speling must employ as many vowel letters as the spoken language has distinct vowels. Tire Meradd, recognizing this, urges new signs to complete the vowel scheme, most of them such as other reformers and foneticians uze. A variant of e (e) is uzed widely, but lawlesly by most. A few uze it exclusivly; some rite $\varepsilon$ after f, b, v, w, o, only, with which it joins better than e. I uze it in all riting for "short e," and recomend this to others.

## Hillsboro, Kansas

J. G. Ewert.
[A motin use of New (or ideal) Speling is to sho what to work torrer. This e is in Passy's alfabet for e in let, with 'e' for e in they. Mr Ewert (pronoumce e $v^{e}$ rt. not y $\overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{t}$ ) works toard that, and so has $\varepsilon$ in stronger sylabls of suelling, letter Herald, recngnize, fonetic, however, already, better, recomend help]

## OUR LETTER BOX.

P.E.II.: Yes, but meōri wil not do for Maori. It is mari, an almost perfect rime with domry dand (a as in far, its fundamental value; it is a widespred misuse to asign it to the sound $x$. Scribes before the Norman Conquest new better).
E.B.T.: The vo:vel-system prevalent in America west of longitude 74, and north of Mason and Dixon's line is, speaking broadly, uzing NED symbols in naturalpitch (i-, e-, a-, o-, u-family) order:

$$
i \mathrm{i}, \quad e \mathrm{e}, æ \eta \mathrm{a}, 2 \rho, \mathrm{u} n
$$

or, transliterated into Heramd-notation, since italics ar not alowd in Orthografy, i i, e e, æ $\Lambda a, \quad \circ \overline{\mathbf{o}}, \quad$ и $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ The U.S.-Cunada boundary is a political,
not a linguistic one. In the south, the vowel-system is much the same, especialy in districts (as in parts of Kansas and in Texas) setld from the north. About 1870 Ontario helpt to setl Kansas. The a-family is difrent in the tru south: northerners say $æ l^{\wedge} b \not \mathrm{~m}^{\wedge}$ for Alrabama; sutherners, a-la-boma or clabama with tru Itallan $a$. It is a question of fact, not of opinion, to be setld by reurrat observation of facts, for
"These dificulties can be grapld with only by sientific methods, if we hope for satisfactory results. . . . . . Acurat noledge of orthoepic facts wud help to setl speling."-Sweet.

## WILLYOU?

[The National Educal Asociation recomends and uzes : tho, altho, thoro, thorofare, thru, chruont, progran, catalog, decalog, demagog, pedagog, prolog.]

If yu ar a Legislater wil yu favor apointing a Commision of Inquiry on Speling, as France did, which recently reported in favor of certn modifications? Wil yu favor introducing Recomendations by the London Filologic Soc'y being uzed in all government Departments, Bils and Acts?

If yuar an Author wil yu uze all or part of said Recomendations in yur books, giving yur publishers special instructions to folo copy, as did Landor, Carlyle, Tenyson?

If yu ar a Publisher wil yu relax rigidity of "Ofice Rules," alowing authors to print their works in their orthografy? Wil yu, where authors object not, uze improved speling, one more in harmony with moth-er-tung, as the Filologic Societies suggest?

If yu ar a Neospaper Proprieter wil yu by degrees introduce modified speling? Yu no how important is evry minut in geting out a daily: why not economize in set-ing-up? Evry useles letter is a waste of time, labor and ink, aditional wear and tear (with posibl los of clients). Modify Ofice Rules, efect a saving. Let editers accept MSS in anorthodox speling. Instruct riters to educate public opinion as to this.

If yu ar a Compositer wil yu cultivate an atmosfere for orthografic reform in or out of yur chapel? Wil yu welcome MSS deviating from Ofice Rules?

If yu ar a Mercirnt wil yu tolerate typists and clerks abreviating transcripts?

If yuar a Laryer wil yusanction briefer speling in letters, deeds, etc.? Yu no the wearines entaild ly needles lettering. Why not deal summarily with intruders?

If yu ara Sientist yu must no that speling is unsientific. We ar prest on evry side to adopt sientific methorls. Shud we tolerate unreasonabl sneling, wasting time for teacher and scolar, with indifrent results? Yu deplore lak of interest in sience by the many. Is it mainly due to dificulty in pronouncing and speling words un-

