## For. The Colonial Churchman,

an astidote to dissent.

## Messrs. Editors, (No.11.)

In my last I promised to answer some of the principal objections usually made to the Church by disenters, but before I proceed to fulfil my engagement, of God among the multitude of those who the church 1 would beg leave to make a few more remarks on the subject in ary last letter which want of room would not allow me to do.

I have often spoken to dissente"s on the suhject of their dissent from the church, and I generally find among them a desire to treat ancient things with disiespect, unless they can use them for the benefit of their cause; but if they can at all make something out of the same otherwise disregarded and despised argument, they take good care not to lose it. Thus, when we plead the apostolicity of our church, we are answered by some with contempt, and by others by endeavouring to make out that the peculiar tenets of their sect were the primitive and only true ones. Now, surely there must be some great mistake here; and it seems to me that many besides myself ought to see it. What then is the best remedy to removo the mistake?. If I say, let us drop all our differences; let us all unite in one communion; and supposing my proposals were agreed to by all parties, yet we would have to fix upon some form of worship, and to udopt some particular plan of church government. Now I would ask, which of the present systems would be the most approved ? ' ' O surely,' it will be said, 'the best must have the prefercuce!' Very well, but which is the best? Are men able to judge in this matter? Is it not what they have been endeavouring to do these two or three hundred years, and they never can succeed in satisfying their poor fickle and restless minds and hearts? If we have the ability to select for ourselves the best church in existence, we certainly ought to be all united very closely now ; but the fact is that in this also we want the assistance from above, the help of Divine grace, to direct us in the good "old paths," that we may "walk therein, and find rest for our souls." I suppose no one will deny that among the numerous religious systems now opposed to each other, some one must be best. All must agiee to this, since all will have their own to be the one. Then I would ask, how comes it that they who are in the wrong do not see it? It is, however, generally thought that there are very pious people in every sect, but if all these communions must be wrong except one, how is it that the pious part of them do not ste their error? One of my parishioners asked ne the same thing the other day in the following manner :-" If dissent be such a great evil, how is it that the Uoly Spirit has operated in my heart, in other respects, but has never shewn me this?" This man, however, thinks himself, and is thought by his ncighbours, to be a very religious man, and yet he camot see the evil of division. Why? becruse he mistakes his own feelings for the operation of the Spirit of God and pays more attention to what his conscience tells him than to what the word of God teils him! In the same way, I fear, many are deceived with regard to their choice of the religious bod: they join, and may indeed be also deceived as recards their own pious feeling. Besides, it is unhapfily too true that there always remaireth something oftise fesh in us while we live in this tabernacle, and
many well-meaning men might easily be in error up-
our duty to offer it if we have it in our power, and et us pray that a time may come when christians will at last open their eyes, and when " love" will be deed " without dissimulation.".
But, it may be asked, "what then is the best remedy for all these miserable divisions?" In answer to this I would beg leave to say, that in my opinion, I know no other religious bady better calculated to conciliate all parties; than the Protestant Episcopal Church. In her we find all that is primitive, without a doubt. In her we find a regular ministry as old as chistianity itself-a lifurgy composed according to scriptural and catholic purity afid beauty-and in her, her most inveterate enemies will never be able to find any thing taught, as necessary to salvation, which is not plainly and distinctly expressed in the Bible.
But to this a dissenter will object by saying, that he believes his communion is the best, and that he of course, has as much right to choose his church as I have to select mine. How then are we to settle the matter in order to remove every thing which obstructs our christian fellowship? It is evident that one of the two must give way to the other. But is it likely that one will give up a divinely organized society for another of human invention? Is it likely that the man who holds to the oldest institution will give up his pretensions, especially while nothing very material is defective the doctrines of that:church, for one of a later origin, and formed by perhaps onIy one simple individual? Even supposing the new system possessed of attractions which are not to be found in the other, yet nothing but presumption, or a "zeal without knowledge," could make us substitute any plan of our own for that which Christ, the Apostles, and the whole Church during the fifteen first ages of Christianity, formed, a pproved, and supported. It appears to me that the origin of many mistakes concerning these things is the low opinion in which God's institutions are held. If I lose sight of the Divine origin of the Church and of all her ordinances, I am at once in a labyrinth of confusion. And so it is with all dissenters. Having Corgoten this noble feature in the true chnrch, they are obliged to live in constant search for what they have lost without ever finding it. They want a centre of Unity, and they will find it nowhere upon earth but in Episcopacy. Once out of this "old path,'s they are on the wide world, or on the wide ocean, without a compass to guide them. I would therefore ask all those dissenters who confess that we are all divided by mere trifles and non-essentials, if they are all desirous of opposing the dangerous effects of dissent, and of putting a stop to our shame- on some inportant point without being aware of it ences, and restoring peace and order to the $d$ until a certain time. But let us not be mistaken and contentious world? There are many, any longer. It is impossible for us to follow the will sure, whon night easily lay by these trifles and of God without a willing mind, and unless we have cssenlials for the sake of Untty. They only w sufficient portion of humility, disinterestedness and charity, and all other considerations will fall to the ground in presence of these heavenly virtues. that the principal motives which can lead men into a blessing for the world, if all those who differ, as ${ }^{4}$ so much division and confusion, cannot be the true' is said, only about small things, would lay by thes principles of the gospel. As long therefore as they small things, which are, "Obedience to thent that how will be guided by the spirit swhich causes dissent, the rule over us,"-Love, Peace, and Unity! they will never seek or take a remedy. Yet it is may we not look for such a day ?

I remain, Messrs. Editors, Your's, \&c. Oct. 24, 1838.

## extracts from the life of wililam whathor

 his sons.'All the world wild about Dr. Chalmers; he truly pious, simple, and unassuming. Sunday, - Off early with Canning, Huskisson Binning, to the Scoteh Church, London-wa hear Dr. Chaln:ers. Vast crowds-Bobus Lord Eigin, Harrowby, \&c. So pleased nit that I went again; getting in at a window with D. over iron palisades on a bench. Cbalmers awful on carnal and spipitual man. Home tir satisfied that I had better nat have gone for tion.' ' 1 was surprised to see how greatly was affected; at times be quite melted into I should have thought he had been too much ba in debate to show such signs of feeling.'
don,' he was soon after told in a very different is cle from his own, 'has heard of your climbin, at that uindow.'

With the healthful play of ${ }^{3}$ gorous mind he entered reauily into the joke: was surveying the breach with a cautious and ing eye, when Lady D., no shrimp, you must ${ }^{\text {a }}$ dit serve, entered boldly before me, and proved was jracticable.'

## the dure of iwellington.

- Through General Macaulay, who was in ance on the Dnke of Wellington atVerna, be ' of the admirable zeal, parseverance, judgr temper,' which the duke had manifested in ing what he cafis 'oưr business at the Cong am particularly pleased with the general's $c$ in the Duke of Wellingtou's plain-dealing ho gainst all the tangled web of the French $M$ lian manufacturers. Dieu defend le droil. love all genernts the better for it as long and so I'hope will iny children after me. ly gratified by finding so much resolution tical zeal in our gopd cause, in a man has been spent for very different purposes, bu has been so signally honoured by Providence instrument of our national deliverance.'


## his last frank.

On the very day on which the new writ wa moved be was enjoying peacefully the simples sures.
, Foggy in the morniag,' say
cleared up and became delighiful. The rocus cups. bees seduced to fly about crocus cups. The blackbirds singing.'-To
his sons, who had requested him to send la frank, he wrote on the same day: wilberforce, esq., uriel college, o My dear Boys, - When Charles the the very point of exchanging, as 1 trust a ten) an eternal crown, he was forced to be s said but one word; and now I have but in which to use my pen, and, therefore, mp I also nill adopt his langusge, and add, as 'Remember.' You can fill up the clasm. only add, that with constant wishes and pras your usefulness, comfort, and honour here, an glory, honour, aud immostality for you bere main, ever your most affectionate father,
"W. WILBEBFO:CF"

