

own opinions, and to exercise in support of them such influence as he may happen to possess, but, in our view, Colonel Moore is a far better and more prudent counsellor, and it is to be regretted that Sir Knight Graham did not time his arrival on the scene so as to have been too late, as owing to his absence, and that of other members of the sub-committee, during the earlier part of the proceedings, no report was delivered, and it had been resolved that the question should be deferred till next annual assembly. But Sir jGraham appeared on the scene, and, as might have been foreseen, the separation question was taken up and settled. But no other result could have been anticipated when it is remembered that this is the same brother who, as Grand Master of Quebec, had it in contemplation to anathematise all English Masons, from His Royal Highness downwards, because certain lodges in Montreal will not place themselves under the banner of the Grand Lodge of Quebec; and who, since then, has distinguished himself by addressing a most objectionable letter to the Grand Master of the Mark Grand Lodge of England, and having it printed, and we presume published before it could possibly have reached the brother to whom it was addressed. We say again, it is deeply to be regretted that the prudent course of Great Prior Moore was not preferred to that of Frater Graham."

THE *Masonic Review* last month commenced volume 61. May it long live. We have so often given it praise, that on this occasion we shall only say,—
"success."

A DISGRACEFUL ENACTMENT— TEXAS.

We are in receipt of the proceedings of the Grand Lodge of Texas, for which the Grand Secretary, B. W. Bro. George Bingham, will please accept our thanks. In this volume appears a fly sheet from the "Secretary's office, Grand Lodge of Texas, Masonic Temple, City of Houston, March 1st, A. D. 1883, A. L. 5883. To the W. M.'s, Wardens, etc. * * Brthren, it is my duty as Grand Secretary * * in accordance with the Constitution, to inform you of the following rejections, suspensions, etc., * * in the following named lodges during the past quarter, ending February 28th, A. D. 1883, A. L. 5883." Then in large black type, REJECTED BY, followed by a tabular statement of "Lodges, No., Names, Degree, Height (ft. and in.), B. B's, Description, Age, Occupation, Residence." Now, we protest against such an outrage, not only in the name of Masonry, but decency.

Here is the Grand Lodge of Texas publishing to the world the names of twenty-six gentlemen, who honorably sought admission to our mysteries, and who, without trial or right of trial, were for reasons not given rejected, and thus blackening their character amongst their neighbors and before strangers. They go farther than even mentioning their names and residences. That would not satisfy such pure minded and noble advocates of fair play. No, forsooth, the Grand Lodge of Texas thinks it is necessary to give us the height of these gentlemen (it is very provoking, but in only one case have